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The Record of Decision (ROD) requires removal of an average 6.6 ft. (2 meters) in sediment management unit (SMU) 1, which constitutes the majority of the in-lake waste deposit (ILWD) area, plus up to an additional 3.3 ft. (1 meter) in areas defined as hot spots. This same removal approach is required in the portions of the ILWD that extend into SMUs 2 and 7.

A rigorous evaluation of the extensive ILWD sediment and porewater database was completed to develop the removal approach that achieves the two-meter average removal, optimizes contaminant mass removal and reduces sediment and porewater contaminant concentrations underlying the cap. The ILWD was divided into four sub-areas based on chemical concentrations and distributions. Optimal removal strategies were then developed for each of these sub-areas, as shown in plan view in Figure G-1. The primary removal strategy and basis for the removal in each sub-area is summarized below.

- **SMU 1/SMU 7 ILWD Eastern Area**: Removal of the top 9.9 ft. (3 meters) in this area will remove the highest sediment and porewater concentrations of chlorobenzene and dichlorobenzene measured anywhere in the ILWD. This will also lower the concentration for numerous other contaminants in sediment and/or pore water in this area.

- **SMU 1 ILWD Center Area**: Sufficient dredging will be completed to ensure that the post-capping bathymetry is consistent with current bathymetry in areas where the current water depth is 7 ft. or less. The amended cap thickness in this area is anticipated to be 4.6 ft. assuming average over-placement, with a maximum thickness of 5.7 ft. assuming maximum over-placement of each layer. Therefore, the removal depth in this area is anticipated to be approximately 5.5 ft. out to a water depth of 7 ft.

- **SMU 1 ILWD Western Area**: Contaminant concentrations are generally lower in this area and patterns of concentration versus depth are less defined. However, removal of the top 9.9 ft. (3 meters) in a portion of this area will reduce the concentrations of several contaminants in sediment and/or pore water, including toluene and total semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs).

- **SMU 2 ILWD Area**: Contaminant concentrations are significantly lower in this area than elsewhere within the ILWD. Therefore, habitat considerations were the primary consideration in developing the removal approach in this area. In general, the dredge
removal was selected to increase water depth near shore to enhance future shoreline fishing opportunities.

As shown in Figure G-1, there will be a transition zone between the full removal depth and shoreline in some areas and approaching the littoral area boundary based on habitat and other considerations. There will also be transition zones between the dredge areas and the edge of the profundal zone, and between the different target dredge depths themselves. The details of these transition zones are provided in Appendix F. Additional details regarding the development of the sub-areas and removal strategies are provided below.

Location-specific information within the ILWD from the Remedial Investigation (RI) and all design-related investigations through 2008 was queried from Honeywell’s Locus Focus data management system in order to identify spatial contaminant distribution trends and develop the sub-areas and removal depths summarized above. This included identifying and plotting the locations of the highest sediment and porewater concentrations for each contaminant or contaminant group. Sediment data from vibracores collected for pore water analysis were also included in this evaluation. The 90th and 95th percentile concentrations were identified, as shown in the percentile distribution curves in Figure G-2. Percentiles were used to describe characteristics of data distributions. For example, the 90th percentile concentration represents the concentration that is higher than 90 percent of all the concentrations in the database. The percentile distribution curves as shown in Figure G-2 were developed based on SMU1 data only. Exceedances of the 90th and 95th percentile concentrations are shown in plan view in Figures G-3 through G-11. The 90th and 95th percentiles are also shown for reference in the scatter plots of contaminant concentration versus depth shown in Figures G-12 through G-23. The plan view figures and scatter plots as shown in Figures G-3 through G-23 were developed based on all data from SMUs 1, 2 and 7 within the ILWD.

The removal approach optimizes removal of the highest sediment and porewater concentrations, and results in decreased sediment and porewater concentrations immediately beneath the cap. Specific benefits of the proposed ILWD removal approach based on consideration of the data presented in Figures G-3 through G-23 are detailed below.

SMU 1/SMU 7 ILWD Eastern Area: Dredging the top three meters in portions of the eastern area removes the highest concentrations measured anywhere in ILWD for:

- Chlorobenzene in sediment and porewater
- Dichlorobenzene in sediment and porewater
- PCBs in sediment (not analyzed for in porewater)

It also reduces the concentration in the eastern area for:

- Toluene in porewater
- Xylene in porewater
Napthalene in porewater
Benzene in porewater and sediment
Phenol in sediment

SMU 1 ILWD Center Area: Dredging in the center area will not appreciably reduce the sediment or pore water concentrations beneath the cap. Therefore, the removal approach in this area is to remove sufficient sediment such that post-capping bathymetry is consistent with current bathymetry in water depths from 0 to 7 ft.

SMU 1 ILWD Western Area: Contaminant concentrations in the western area are generally lower than concentrations in the eastern and center areas. Nevertheless, dredging the top three meters in portions of the western area reduces the concentration in the western area for:

- Dichlorobenzene in porewater
- Benzene in porewater
- Toluene in porewater and sediment
- Mercury in sediment
- PAHs in sediment (not analyzed for in porewater)
- PCBs in sediment (not analyzed for in porewater)

SMU 2 ILWD Area: Contaminant levels within the SMU 2 ILWD are lower than the other ILWD areas. Therefore, habitat considerations were the primary consideration in developing the removal approach in this area. To meet the two meter average removal requirement for the SMU 2 ILWD removal strategy involves increasing water depth near shore along a portion of the shoreline barrier wall to enhance future shoreline fishing opportunities.

Hot Spot Removal: Following development of the removal approach described above, sediment data for the next 3.3 ft. (one meter) down were evaluated to identify exceedances of the hot spot criteria listed in the ROD and the subsequent hot spot removal approach. Details regarding hot spot identification and determination of hot spot removal areas are provided below.

To identify exceedances of hot spot criteria, contaminant concentrations within the one-meter interval immediately below the post-dredge surface were defined at each core location. Core locations are shown in Figure G-24. Identification of hot spots involved first defining the baseline post-dredging depth at each core location. The concentration within the one-meter interval immediately underlying the baseline removal depth was then conservatively defined based on the maximum concentration of the core sections within that interval, and this concentration was compared to the hot spot criteria. Samples having any portion of their sampling interval within the underlying one-meter interval were included in the analysis. Based on this analysis, chlorobenzene, dichlorobenzene and xylene were the only contaminants that exceeded their hot spot criteria in the depth interval of interest. Core locations that exceeded the hot spot criteria are included in Table G-1 and shown in Figure G-25. For co-located cores, the...
maximum value measured at any of the cores was considered in identification of hot spot exceedances.

Following this identification of hot spot locations, an interpolation procedure was used for the areal delineation of hot spots. The interpolation was based on the same concentrations used for identification of hot spot locations described above (i.e., maximum concentration of any core segment within the one-meter interval beneath the baseline dredge cut. In order to produce acceptable interpolation results, the data set was conservatively revised to remove the effect of non-hot spot locations that are co-located with or are near hot spot exceedances. To remove this proximity effect for each contaminant, all non-hot spot locations that fell within close proximity of a hot spot location were excluded from the interpolation, which resulted in conservatively high interpolated concentrations at such locations. Table G-2 provides a listing of the non-hot spot locations that were excluded from the interpolation due to this proximity effect for each contaminant.

The remaining sediment surface concentration data for each CPOI were then interpolated over a 10-ft. grid within the ILWD using the Inverse Distance Weighted (IDW) method. With the IDW method, the interpolated concentration at a given point is calculated as a weighted average of the nearby measured concentrations, with the weighting factors defined by the distance between the calculation point and each measurement location raised to a power (a power of 4 was used in this case). The resulting interpolated concentrations for chlorobenzene, dichlorobenzene, and xylene are shown in Figures G-26 through G-28, respectively. For each of these three contaminants, the individual hot spot areas were defined by the interpolated concentration isopleths corresponding to its hot spot criteria. The isopleths corresponding to the hot spot criteria for the three contaminants were then merged, as shown in Figure G-29. Minor revisions were made to the boundaries of the combined isopleths based on engineering judgment, such as linking of small isolated hot spots with adjacent larger hot spot areas, as shown in Figure G-30. Based on this approach, seven individual hot spot areas were delineated (referred to as Hot Spots A through G), covering a total combined area of approximately 22 acres.

**DATA TREATMENT**

The data queries and treatment methods used for all analyses presented in this appendix are as follows:

- Parameters of interest: benzene, chlorobenzene, total dichlorobenzenes, ethylbenzene, naphthalene, mercury, PAHs, PCBs, toluene, phenol, and total xylene
- Removed “rejected” data (i.e., query Locus field “USE” = Y only)
- For duplicate results, both concentrations were included
- Non-detect samples were set to half of their detection limit
• Sample intervals were determined by the average of the sample start depth and sample end depth.

• Total dichlorobenzene was calculated as the sum of 1,2- 1,3- and 1,4-dichlorobenzene using half the detection limit for non-detects.

• Total xylene was calculated as the sum of m-, p-, and o-xylene using half the detection limit for non-detects.

• Total PAHs were calculated as the sum of individual PAH compounds using half the detection limit for non-detects.

• Total PCBs were calculated as the sum of individual aroclors using half the detection limit for non-detects.
TABLES
Table G-1
ILWD Sample Locations with Hot Spot Criteria Exceedances in the 1-Meter Interval Below the Base Dredge Cut

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sediment Sample Locations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OL-STA-10008-VC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-STA-10009-VC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-STA-10010-VC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-STA-10013-VC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-STA-10020-PW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-STA-10020-VC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10042A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10046A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10049A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10053A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10057A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10096A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10097A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S345</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table G-2
Non-Hot Spot ILWD Sample Locations Excluded from Interpolation Due to Proximity to a Hot Spot Location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sediment Sample Locations</th>
<th>Chemicals(s) for which Location was Excluded from Interpolation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OL-STA-10008-PW</td>
<td>Xylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-STA-10010-VC</td>
<td>Xylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-STA-10013-PW</td>
<td>Xylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10044</td>
<td>Xylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10048A</td>
<td>Xylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10049</td>
<td>Xylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10058A</td>
<td>Xylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10070</td>
<td>Xylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10070A</td>
<td>Xylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10097A</td>
<td>Xylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-STA-10010-PW</td>
<td>Dichlorobenzene, Xylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10064A</td>
<td>Dichlorobenzene, Xylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10066</td>
<td>Dichlorobenzene, Xylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10067</td>
<td>Dichlorobenzene, Xylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10097</td>
<td>Dichlorobenzene, Xylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10099</td>
<td>Dichlorobenzene, Xylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10100</td>
<td>Dichlorobenzene, Xylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10042A</td>
<td>Dichlorobenzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10051</td>
<td>Dichlorobenzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10098</td>
<td>Dichlorobenzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10103A</td>
<td>Dichlorobenzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10138</td>
<td>Dichlorobenzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S312</td>
<td>Dichlorobenzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10057</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene, Dichlorobenzene, Xylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10096</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL-VC-10096A</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURES
Figure G-2. Percentile Distributions Used to Develop Optimal ILWD Removal Depths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile</th>
<th>Porewater (µg/L)</th>
<th>Sediment (mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>90th: 2300</td>
<td>90th: 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95th: 7000</td>
<td>95th: 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>90th: 1533</td>
<td>90th: 126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95th: 2542</td>
<td>95th: 250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Numbers in red denote concentrations beyond the range of the plots.
Figure G-2. Percentile Distributions Used to Develop Optimal ILWD Removal Depths (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benzene (Porewater)</th>
<th>Benzene (Sediment)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration (µg/L)</td>
<td>Concentration (mg/kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethylbenzene (Porewater)</th>
<th>Ethylbenzene (Sediment)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration (µg/L)</td>
<td>Concentration (mg/kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile</th>
<th>Porewater (µg/L)</th>
<th>Sediment (mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90th</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95th</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylbenzene 90th</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylbenzene 95th</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Numbers in red denote concentrations beyond the range of the plots.

Note: Plots incorporate data from RI and PDI Phases I through V.
Figure G-2. Percentile Distributions Used to Develop Optimal ILWD Removal Depths (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile</th>
<th>Porewater (μg/L)</th>
<th>Sediment (mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>90th 4610</td>
<td>90th 399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95th 6700</td>
<td>95th 526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>90th 1600</td>
<td>90th 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95th 2500</td>
<td>95th 47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Numbers in red denote concentrations beyond the range of the plots.
Figure G-2. Percentile Distributions Used to Develop Optimal ILWD Removal Depths (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile</th>
<th>Porewater (ug/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>90th 3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylenes</td>
<td>95th 4550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>90th 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95th 70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile</th>
<th>Sediment (mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>90th 127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylenes</td>
<td>95th 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>90th 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95th 40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Numbers in red denote concentrations beyond the range of the plots.
Figure G-2. Percentile Distributions Used to Develop Optimal ILWD Removal Depths (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile</th>
<th>Sediment (mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>90th 901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAHs</td>
<td>95th 600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>90th 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCBs</td>
<td>95th 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>95th 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Numbers in red denote concentrations beyond the range of the plots.
Two locations have equal maximum concentrations.

Note:
- Figures incorporate data from RI and PDI Phases I through V
- Sample result in 90-95th percentile
- Sample result in 95-99th percentile
- Maximum concentration within ILWD
- Sample location

Chlorobenzene Sediment

Chlorobenzene Porewater

Figure G-3
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample location</th>
<th>Sample result in 95-99th percentile</th>
<th>Sample result in 90-95th percentile</th>
<th>Maximum concentration within ILWD</th>
<th>Sample location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-1m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-5m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-6m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
Figures incorporate data from RI and PDI Phases I through V.
- Sample result in 95-99th percentile
- Sample result in 90-95th percentile
- Maximum concentration within ILWD
- Sample location
Note: Figures incorporate data from RI and PDI Phases I through V

- Sample result in 90-95th percentile
- Sample result in 95-99th percentile
- Maximum concentration within ILWD
- Sample location
Note:
Figures incorporate data from RI and PDI Phases I through V

Sample result in 90-95th percentile
Sample result in 95-99th percentile
Maximum concentration within ILWD
Sample location

Figure G-6

Ethylbenzene Sediment

Ethylbenzene Porewater
Note:
Figures incorporate data from RI and PDI Phases I through V
- Sample result in 90-95th percentile
- Sample result in 95-99th percentile
- Maximum concentration within ILWD
- Sample location

Figure G-7
Toluene Sediment

Sample location

Sample result in 95-99th percentile

Maximum concentration within ILWD

Sample location

Figure G-8

Note:
Figures incorporate data from RI and PDI Phases I through V

- Sample result in 90-95th percentile
- Sample result in 95-99th percentile
- Maximum concentration within ILWD
- Sample location
Xylene Sediment

Xylene Porewater

Note:
Figures incorporate data from RI and PDI Phases I through V
- Sample result in 90-99th percentile
- Sample result in 95-99th percentile
- Maximum concentration within ILWD
- Sample location

Figure G-9
Note: Figures incorporate data from RI and PDI Phases I through V

- Sample result in 90-95th percentile
- Sample result in 95-99th percentile
- Maximum concentration within ILWD
- Sample location

Mercury Sediment  Mercury Porewater  Figure G-10
Note:
Figures incorporate data from RI and PDI Phases I through V
- Sample result in 90-95th percentile
- Sample result in 95-99th percentile
- Maximum concentration within ILWD
- Sample location

Figure G-11
Figure G - 12. Summary of Porewater and Sediment Data for Chlorobenzene within ILWD SMUs 1 & 7

Percentile | Porewater (µg/L) | Concentration | Sediment (mg/kg)
---|---|---|---
50th | 7200 | 44 | 110
95th | 7000 | | |

Data Presentation:
Red lines indicate Hot spot criteria for sediment as listed in the ROD.
Dashed lines represent the target removal depth prior to hot spot removal.
Green lines indicate 90th and 96th percentile concentrations.
Numbers in red denote concentrations beyond the range of the scatterplots.
Figures incorporate data from R1 through P01 through Phase V.

Note: These figures were used to identify contaminant distribution trends, which were then used to develop target removal depths.
These removal depths will not be achieved everywhere, such as within transition zones near shore and Approaching the Depressional Zone.
Therefore, some data points shown above the target removal depth may remain following dredging.

Notes: Non-detects are set at 1/2 the MDL.

March 6, 2012
Figure G - 13. Summary of Porewater and Sediment Data for Dichlorobenzene within ILWD SMUs 1 & 7

Data Presentation:
- Red lines indicate hot spot criteria for sediment as listed in the ROD.
- Dashed lines represent the target removal depth prior to hot spot removal.
- Green lines indicate 90th and 95th percentile concentrations.
- Numbers in red denote concentrations beyond the range of the scatterplots.
- Figures incorporate data from RI and PDI Phases I through V.

Percentile | Porewater (μg/L) | Sediment (mg/kg) | Hotspot Criterion (mg/kg) | 90 |
--- | --- | --- | --- |
90th | 1633 | 242 | |
95th | 128 | 255 | |

Note: These figures were used to identify contaminant distribution trends, which were then used to develop target removal depths. These removal depths will not be achieved everywhere, such as within transition zones near shore and approaching the profundal zone. Therefore, some data points shown above the target removal depth may remain following dredging.

Notes: Non-detects are set at 1/2 the MDL.
Figure G - 14. Summary of Porewater and Sediment Data for Benzene within ILWD SMUs 1 & 7

**Data Presentation:**
- Red lines indicate hot spot criteria for sediment as listed in the ROD.
- Dashed lines represent the target removal depth prior to hot spot removal.
- Green lines indicate 99th and 95th percentile concentrations.
- Numbers in red denote concentrations beyond the range of the scatterplots.
- Figures incorporate data from RI and RFI Phases I through V.

**Notes:**
- These figures were used to identify contaminant distribution trends, which were then used to develop target removal depths.
- These removal depths will not be achieved everywhere, such as within transition zones near shore and approaching the profundal zone.
- Therefore, some data points shown above the target removal depth may remain following dredging.

**Percentile Porewater (ug/L):**
- 95th: 2500
- 99th: 3400

**Percentile Sediment (mg/kg):**
- 95th: 22
- 99th: 30

**Hotspot Criterion (mg/kg):**
- 209

Notes: Non-detects are set at 1/2 the MDL.

Parsons: Honeywell
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Figure G - 15. Summary of Porewater and Sediment Data for Ethylbenzene within ILWD SMUs 1 & 7

Data Presentation:
- Dashed lines represent the target removal depth prior to hot spot removal.
- Green lines indicate 90th and 95th percentile concentrations.
- Numbers in red denote concentrations beyond the range of the scatterplots.
- Notes: (1) Hot spot criteria for sediment above the range of plots.
- Figures incorporate data from RI and PDI Phases I through V.

Percentile | Porewater (µg/L) | Sediment (mg/kg) |
------------|-----------------|-----------------|
90th        | 170             | 8               |
95th        | 220             | 12              |

| Hotspot Criterion (mg/kg) | 1.665 |

Note: These figures were used to identify contaminant distribution trends, which were then used to develop target removal depths.
- These removal depths will not be achieved everywhere, such as within transition zones near shore and approaching the profundal zone.
- Therefore, some data points shown above the target removal depth may remain following dredging.

Notes: Non-detects are set at 1/2 the MDL.
Figure G - 16. Summary of Porewater and Sediment Data for Naphthalene within ILWD SMUs 1 & 7

**Percentile** | **Porewater (µg/L)** | **Sediment (mg/kg)** | **Hotspot Criterion (mg/kg)**
--- | --- | --- | ---
90th | 4610 | 6700 | 20.573
95th | 560 | 826 |

**Data Presentation:**
Red lines indicate hot spot criteria for sediment as listed in the ROID.
Dashed lines represent the target removal depth prior to hot spot removal.
Green lines indicate 90th and 95th percentile concentrations.
Numbers in red denote concentrations beyond the range of the scatterplots.

**Notes:**
1. Hot spot criteria for sediment above the range of plots.
2. Figures incorporate data from RI and PDI Phases I through V.
3. These figures were used to identify contaminant distribution trends, which were then used to develop target removal depths.
4. These removal depths will not be achieved everywhere, such as within transition zones near shore and approaching the profundal zone.
5. Therefore, some data points shown above the target removal depth may remain following dredging.

*PARSONS*

*Notes: Non-detects are set at 1/2 the MDL.*
Figure G-17. Summary of Porewater and Sediment Data for Toluene within ILWD SMUs 1 & 7

Data Presentation:
Red lines indicate hot spot criteria for sediment as listed in the ROD.
Dashed lines represent the target removal depth prior to hot spot removal.
Green lines indicate 90th and 95th percentile concentrations.
Numbers in red denote concentrations beyond the range of the scatterplots.
Notes: (1) Hot spot criteria for sediment above the range of plots.
Figures incorporate data from RI and PDI Phases I through V.

Percentile | Porewater | Sediment |
--- | --- | --- |
50th | 1500 | 234 |
95th | 2500 | 47 |

Note: These figures were used to identify contaminant distribution trends, which were then used to develop target removal depths. These removal depths will not be achieved everywhere, such as within transition zones near shore and approaching the profundal zone. Therefore, some data points shown above the target removal depth may remain following dredging.
Figure G - 18. Summary of Porewater and Sediment Data for Xylene within ILWD SMUs 1 & 7

Data Presentation:
Red lines indicate hot spots criteria for sediment as listed in the ROD.
Dashed lines represent the target removal depth prior to hot spot removal.
Green lines indicate 90th and 95th percentile concentrations.
Numbers in red denote concentrations beyond the range of the scatterplots.
Figures incorporate data from RI and PDI Phases I through V.

Note: These figures were used to identify contaminant distribution trends, which were then used to develop target removal depths. These removal depths will not be achieved everywhere, such as within transition zones near shore and approaching the profundal zone. Therefore, some data points shown above the target removal depth may remain following dredging.

Notes: Non-detects are set at 1/2 the MDL.
Figure G - 19. Summary of Porewater and Sediment Data for Mercury within ILWD SMUs 1 & 7

### Mercury in Porewater (WEST)
- Concentration (ug/L)
- Sample Depth (meters)

### Mercury in Porewater (CENTER)
- Concentration (ug/L)
- Sample Depth (meters)

### Mercury in Porewater (EAST)
- Concentration (ug/L)
- Sample Depth (meters)

### Mercury in Sediment (WEST)
- Concentration (mg/kg)
- Sample Depth (meters)

### Mercury in Sediment (CENTER)
- Concentration (mg/kg)
- Sample Depth (meters)

### Mercury in Sediment (EAST)
- Concentration (mg/kg)
- Sample Depth (meters)

#### Percentile Data:
- **Porewater (ug/L):**
  - 90th: 26
  - 95th: 79
- **Sediment (mg/kg):**
  - 90th: 26
  - 95th: 40

#### Note:
These figures were used to identify contaminant distribution trends, which were then used to develop target removal depths. These removal depths will not be achieved everywhere, such as within transition zones near shore and approaching the profundal zone. Therefore, some data points shown above the target removal depth may remain following dredging.

#### Data Presentation:
- Dashed lines represent the target removal depth prior to hot spot removal.
- Green lines indicate 90th and 95th percentile concentrations.
- Numbers in red denote concentrations beyond the range of the scatterplots.
- Notes: (1) Hot spot criteria for sediment above the range of plots.
- Figures incorporate data from RI and POI Phases I through V.

#### Percentile Table:
- **Porewater (ug/L):**
  - 90th: 26
  - 95th: 79
- **Sediment (mg/kg):**
  - 90th: 26
  - 95th: 40

**Hotspot Criterion (mg/kg):** 2.924

Notes: Non-detects are set at 1/2 the MDL.
Figure G - 20. Summary of Sediment Data for PAHs and PCBs within ILWD SMUs 1 & 7

Data Presentation:
- Dashed lines represent the target removal depth prior to hot spot removal.
- Green lines indicate 90th and 95th percentile concentrations.
- Numbers in red denote concentrations beyond the range of the scatterplots.
- Figures incorporate data from RI and PDI Phases I through V.

Percentile: Total PAHs in Sediment (mg/kg)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile</th>
<th>Total PAHs in Sediment (mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90th</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95th</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentile: Total PCBs in Sediment (mg/kg)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile</th>
<th>Total PCBs in Sediment (mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90th</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95th</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: These figures were used to identify contaminant distribution trends, which were then used to develop target removal depths. These removal depths will not be achieved everywhere, such as within transition zones near shore and approaching the profundal zone. Therefore, some data points shown above the target removal depth may remain following dredging.

Notes: Non-detects are set at 1/2 the MDL.
Figure G - 21. Summary of Sediment Data for Phenol within ILWD SMUs 1 & 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile</th>
<th>Sediment (mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50th</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95th</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Presentation:
- Dashed lines represent the target removal depth prior to hot spot removal.
- Green lines indicate 90th and 95th percentile concentrations.
- Numbers in red denote concentrations beyond the range of the scatterplots.
- Figures incorporate data from RI and PDI Phases I through V.

Note: These figures were used to identify contaminant distribution trends, which were then used to develop target removal depths. These removal depths will not be achieved everywhere, such as within transition zones near shore and approaching the profundal zone. Therefore, some data points shown above the target removal depth may remain following dredging.
Figure G-22. SMU2 Porewater Data within ILWD

Chlorobenzene in Porewater

Total Dichlorobenzene in Porewater

Notes: All concentrations shown on the plots are below the 90th percentile values for SMUs 1 & 7. Non-detects were set at 1/2 the MDL. Plots incorporate data from PDI Phases I through V.
Figure G-22. SMU2 Porewater Data within ILWD (continued)

**Benzene in Porewater**

Notes: All concentrations shown on the plots are below the 90th percentile values for SMUs 1 & 7. Non-detects were set at 1/2 the MDL. Plots incorporate data from PDI Phases I through V.
Figure G-22. SMU2 Porewater Data within ILWD (continued)

Naphthalene in Porewater

Toluene in Porewater

Notes: All concentrations shown on the plots are below the 90th percentile values for SMUs 1 & 7. Non-detects were set at 1/2 the MDL. Plots incorporate data from PDI Phases I through V.
Notes: All concentrations shown on the plots are below the 90th percentile values for SMUs 1 & 7. Non-detects were set at 1/2 the MDL. Plots incorporate data from PDI Phases I through V.
Figure G-23. SMU2 ILWD Sediment Data

Chlorobenzene in Sediment

**Hotspot Criterion (mg/kg)**

- 25

Total Dichlorobenzene in Sediment

**Hotspot Criterion (mg/kg)**

- 90

Notes:
- Green lines indicate 90th and 95th percentile concentrations in SMUs 1 & 7 in the ILWD.
- Red line indicates hotspot criterion for sediment as listed in the ROD.
- Notes: (1) Hot spot criteria for sediment above the range of plots.
- Non-detects were set at 1/2 the MDL.
- Plots incorporate data from RI through PDI Phase V.
Figure G-23. SMU 2 ILWD Sediment Data (continued)

**Benzene in Sediment**

- Hotspot Criterion (mg/kg) 208

**Ethylbenzene in Sediment**

- Hotspot Criterion (mg/kg) 1,655

Green lines indicate 90th and 95th percentile concentrations in SMUs 1 & 7 in the ILWD.

Notes: (1) Hot spot criteria for sediment above the range of plots.
- Non-detects were set at 1/2 the MDL.
- Plots incorporate data from RI through PDI Phase V.
Figure G-23. SMU 2 ILWD Sediment Data (continued)

**Naphthalene in Sediment**

- Green lines indicate 90th percentile concentration in SMUs 1 & 7 in the ILWD.
- Notes: (1) Hot spot criteria for sediment above the range of plots.
  - Non-detects were set at 1/2 the MDL.
  - Plots incorporate data from RI through PDI Phase V.

**Toluene in Sediment**

- Hotspot Criterion (mg/kg) 20.573
- Hotspot Criterion (mg/kg) 2,626

Data from Figure G-23.xls.
Hotspot Criterion (mg/kg) 142

Green lines indicate 90th percentile concentration in SMUs 1 & 7 in the ILWD.

Notes: (1) Hot spot criteria for sediment above the range of plots.
Non-detects were set at 1/2 the MDL.
Plots incorporate data from RI through PDI Phase V.
Sample Locations

- **Porewater Sample Location**
- **Sediment Sample Location**

**Note:** Co-located porewater and sediment locations having the same location ID have been labeled using the sediment ID for map clarity.

**Legend:**
- **Red**: Remediation Area Boundary
- **Purple**: Isolation Cap Area
- **Yellow**: Sediment Management Unit (SMU) Boundary
- **Green**: Extent of ILWD in Ulltural Zone

**Map Elements:**
- **Brown**: Wilfs/Samet RM Barrier Wall
- **Orange**: West Wall Portion of the WB-B/H RM
- **Yellow**: Approximate location of East Wall Portion of the SB-B/H RM

**Extent of ILWD in Unit (SMU) Boundary.**

**FIGURE G-24**

**Onondaga Lake**
Figure G-25
Hot Spot exceedance locations within the 1 meter interval below the dredge cut in the ILWD.

Note: Symbols displayed depict maximum concentrations in the 1-m interval below the dredge elevation for core sections (with any portion of the section) that exceed the hot spot criteria for a given CPOI.

Chlorobenzene: HS criteria = 114 mg/kg
Dichlorobenzene: HS criteria = 90 mg/kg
Xylenes: HS criteria = 142 mg/kg

Any Exceedance
Note: Values displayed represent the maximum concentrations in the 1-m interval below the dredge elevation for core sections in that interval.

Concentrations were interpolated using the Inverse Distance Weighted (IDW) method (Power = 4).

Figure G-26
Interpolation of Chlorobenzene concentrations within the 1 meter interval below the dredge cut in the ILWD and associated Hot Spot exceedances
Note: Values displayed represent the maximum concentrations in the 1-m interval below the dredge elevation for core sections in that interval. Concentrations were interpolated using the Inverse Distance Weighted (IDW) method (Power = 4).

Figure G-27
Interpolation of Dichlorobenzene concentrations within the 1 meter interval below the dredge cut in the ILWD and associated Hot Spot exceedances
Interpolation of Xylene concentrations within the 1 meter interval below the dredge cut in the ILWD and associated Hot Spot exceedances.
Note: Values displayed represent the maximum concentrations in the 1-m interval below the dredge elevation for core sections in that interval.
Concentrations were interpolated using the Inverse Distance Weighted (IDW) method (Power = 4).

Figure G-29
Boundaries of combined Hot Spot exceedances within the 1 meter interval below the dredge cut in Remediation Area D
Note: Values displayed represent the maximum concentrations in the 1-m interval below the dredge elevation for core sections in that interval. Concentrations were interpolated using the Inverse Distance Weighted (IDW) method (Power = 4).