APPENDIX E:

VOLUME ESTIMATES

Prepared For:

HONEYWELL

101 Columbia Road P.O. Box 2105 Morristown, NJ 07962

Prepared By:

PARSONS

290 Elwood Davis Road, Suite 312 Liverpool, New York 13088 (315) 451-9560 Fax (315) 451-9570

November 2004

APPENDIX E

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

SECTION E.1 INTRODUCTION	E.1-1
SECTION E.2 DREDGE VOLUME ESTIMATES	E.2-1
E.2.1 DREDGE FOR NLSA	E.2-1
E.2.2 DREDGE FOR NLSA & H&E	E.2-3
E.2.3 TARGETED DREDGING	E.2-5
E.2.4 DREDGING FOR MASS REMOVAL IN SMU 1	E.2-6
E.2.5 DREDGING FOR NAPL REMOVAL	E.2-7
E.2.6 DREDGING TO SPECIFIC SECS	E.2-8
SECTION E.3 DOUBLE COUNTED SLOUGHING VOLUMES	E.3-1
SECTION E.4 CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATES	E.4-1
SECTION E.5 BACKFILL VOLUMES	E.5-1

LIST OF TABLES

Dredging Volume Tables

Table E.1	Dredge Volume Summary Table
Table E.2	SMU 1 Alternative 4.A.2
Table E.3	SMU 1 Alternative 4.A.3
Table E.4	SMU 1 Alternative 4.A.4
Table E.5	SMU 1 Alternative 4.A.5
Table E.6	SMU 1 Alternative 4.A.6
Table E.7	SMU 1 Alternative 4.A.7
Table E.8	SMU 1 Alternative 5.A
Table E.9	SMU 2 Alternative 4.A.3
Table E.10	SMU 2 Alternative 4.A.4
Table E.11	SMU 2 Alternative 4.D.3
Table E.12	SMU 2 Alternative 4.D.4
Table E.13	SMU 2 Alternative 5.A
Table E.14	SMU 2 Alternative 5.D
Table E.15	SMU 3 Alternative 4.A.3
Table E.16	SMU 3 Alternative 4.E.3
Table E.17	SMU 3 Alternative 5.A
Table E.18	SMU 3 Alternative 5.E
Table E.19	SMU 4 Alternative 4.A.3
Table E.20	SMU 4 Alternative 5.A
Table E.21	SMU 5 Alternative 4.A.3
Table E.22	SMU 5 Alternative 4.B.3
Table E.23	SMU 5 Alternative 4.D.3
Table E.24	SMU 5 Alternative 4.E.3
Table E.25	SMU 5 Alternative 5
Table E.26	MU 6 Alternative 4.A.1
Table E.27	MU 6 Alternative 4.A.3
Table E.28	MU 6 Alternative 4.B.1
Table E.29	MU 6 Alternative 4.B.3

LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)

Table

Dredging Volume Tables (continued)

Table E.30SMU 6 Alternative 4.D.1Table E.31SMU 6 Alternative 4.D.3Table E.32SMU 6 Alternatives 5.A, 5.B, & 5.DTable E.33SMU 7 Alternatives 4.A.3Table E.34SMU 7 Alternatives 5.ATable E.35Thiessen Polygon Areas (Surface Interval)Table E.36Thiessen Polygon Areas (1-2 Meter Interval)

Capping Material Volume Tables

Table E.37	Capping Material Summary
Table E.38	SMU 1 Alternative 3.A
Table E.39	SMU 1 Alternative 4.A.2
Table E.40	SMU 1 Alternative 4.A.3
Table E.41	SMU 1 Alternative 4.A.4
Table E.42	SMU 1 Alternative 4.A.5
Table E.43	SMU 1 Alternative 4.A.6
Table E.44	SMU 1 Alternative 4.A.7
Table E.45	SMU 2 Alternative 3.A
Table E.46	SMU 2 Alternative 3.D
Table E.47	SMU 2 Alternative 4.A.3
Table E.48	SMU 2 Alternative 4.A.4
Table E.49	SMU 2 Alternative 4.D.3
Table E.50	SMU 2 Alternative 4.D.4
Table E.51	SMU 3 Alternative 4.A.3
Table E.52	SMU 3 Alternative 4.E.3
Table E.53	SMU 4 Alternative 3.A
Table E.54	SMU 4 Alternative 4.A.3
Table E.55	SMU 5 Alternative 3.A
Table E.56	SMU 5 Alternative 3.B
Table E.57	SMU 5 Alternative 3D

P:\Honeywell -SYR\741627\NOV FINAL FS\Appendix E\Appendix E 11-30-04.doc November 30, 2004

LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)

Capping Material Volume Tables (continued)

Table E.58	SMU 5 Alternative 3.E
Table E.59	SMU 5 Alternative 4.A.3
Table E.60	SMU 5 Alternative 4.B.3
Table E.61	SMU 5 Alternative 4.D.3
Table E.62	SMU 5 Alternative 4.E.3
Table E.63	SMU 6 Alternative 4.A.1
Table E.64	SMU 6 Alternative 4.A.3
Table E.65	SMU 6 Alternative 4.B.1
Table E.66	SMU 6 Alternative 4.B.3
Table E.67	SMU 6 Alternative 4.D.1
Table E.68	SMU 6 Alternative 4.D.3
Table E.69	SMU 7 Alternative 3.A
Table E.70	SMU 7 Alternatives 4.A.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure E.1	SMU 1 Alternative 4.A.2
Figure E.2	SMU 1 Alternative 4.A.3
Figure E.3	SMU 1 Alternatives 4.A.4
Figure E.4	SMU 1 Alternatives 4.A.5, 4.A.6, 4.A.7, & 5.A
Figure E.5	SMU 2 Alternatives 4.A.3 & 4.A.4
Figure E.6	SMU 2 Alternatives 4.D.3 & 4.D.4
Figure E.7	SMU 2 Alternatives 5.A
Figure E.8	SMU 2 Alternative 5.D
Figure E.9	SMU 3 Alternatives 4.A.3
Figure E.10	SMU 3 Alternative 4.E.3
Figure E.11	SMU 3 Alternatives 5.A
Figure E.12	SMU 3 Alternative 5.E
Figure E.13	SMU 4 Alternatives 4.A.3
Figure E.14	SMU 4 Alternatives 5.A, 5.D
Figure E.15	SMU 5 Alternative 4.A.3
Figure E.16	SMU 5 Alternative 4.B.3
Figure E.17	SMU 5 Alternative 4.D.3
Figure E.18	SMU 5 Alternative 4.E.3
Figure E.19	SMU 5 Alternative 5.A
Figure E.20	SMU 5 Alternative 5.B
Figure E.21	SMU 5 Alternative 5.D
Figure E.22	SMU 5 Alternative 5.E
Figure E.23	SMU 6 Alternative 4.A.1
Figure E.24	SMU 6 Alternative 4.A.3
Figure E.25	SMU 6 Alternative 4.B.1
Figure E.26	SMU 6 Alternative 4.B.3
Figure E.27	SMU 6 Alternative 4.D.1
Figure E.28	SMU 6 Alternative 4.D.3
Figure E.29	SMU 6 Alternative 5.A
Figure E.30	SMU 6 Alternative 5.B

LIST OF FIGURES (CONTINUED)

- Figure E.31 SMU 6 Alternative 5.D
- Figure E.32 SMU 7 Alternative 4.A.3
- Figure E.33 SMU 7 Alternative 5.A & 5.C
- Figure E.34 SMU 1 Cross-Section Hump
- Figure E.35 Onondaga Lake –Northern Thiessen Polygons Surface Interval
- Figure E.36 Onondaga Lake Southern Thiessen Polygons Surface Interval
- Figure E.37 Onondaga Lake Revised Northern Thiessen Polygons Non-Surface Interval
- Figure E.38 Onondaga Lake Revised Southern Thiessen Polygons Non-Surface Interval
- Figure E.39 PECQ2 Exceedances (0-1 meter)
- Figure E.40 PECQ2 Exceedances (1-2 meter)
- Figure E.41 PECQ2 Exceedances (2-3 meter)
- Figure E.42 PECQ2 Exceedances (3-4 meter)
- Figure E.43PECQ2 Exceedances (4-5 meter)
- Figure E.44 PECQ2 Exceedances (5-6 meter)
- Figure E.45 PECQ2 Exceedances (6-7 meter)
- Figure E.46 PECQ2 Exceedances (7-8 meter)
- Figure E.47 PECQ1 Exceedances (0-1 meter)
- Figure E.48 PECQ1 Exceedances (1-2 meter)
- Figure E.49PECQ1 Exceedances (2-3 meter)
- Figure E.50PECQ1 Exceedances (3-4 meter)
- Figure E.51 PECQ1 Exceedances (4-5 meter)
- Figure E.52 PECQ1 Exceedances (5-6 meter)
- Figure E.53 PECQ1 Exceedances (6-7 meter)
- Figure E.54 PECQ1 Exceedances (7-8 meter)
- Figure E.55 AET Exceedances (0-1 meter)
- Figure E.56AET Exceedances (1-2 meter)
- Figure E.57 AET Exceedances (2-3 meter)
- Figure E.58 AET Exceedances (3-4 meter)
- Figure E.59 AET Exceedances (4-5 meter)

AET Exceedances (5-6 meter)

Figure E.60

APPENDIX E

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

Figure E.61 AET Exceedances (6-7 meter) Figure E.62 AET Exceedances (7-8 meter) Figure E.63 PEC Exceedances (0-1 meter) Figure E.64 PEC Exceedances (1-2 meter) Figure E.65 PEC Exceedances (2-3 meter) Figure E.66 PEC Exceedances (3-4 meter) Figure E.67 PEC Exceedances (4-5 meter) Figure E.68 PEC Exceedances (5-6 meter) Figure E.69 PEC Exceedances (6-7 meter) Figure E.70 PEC Exceedances (7-8 meter) Figure E.71 ERL Exceedances (0-1 meter) Figure E.72 ERL Exceedances (1-2 meter) Figure E.73 ERL Exceedances (2-3 meter) Figure E.74 ERL Exceedances (3-4 meter) Figure E.75 ERL Exceedances (4-5 meter) Figure E.76 ERL Exceedances (5-6 meter) Figure E.77 ERL Exceedances (6-7 meter) Figure E.78 ERL Exceedances (7-8 meter)

INTRODUCTION

To support the detailed evaluation of alternatives, including cost estimating, volumes are estimated in this appendix for the following materials:

- Dredged sediments,
- Capping material, and
- Backfill material.

The details regarding all of the calculations for these materials are outlined in the following sections.

DREDGE VOLUME ESTIMATES

Dredge volumes for remedial alternatives presented in Section 4 include *in situ* volumes, overdredge volumes, and side-sloughing volumes, which account for the anticipated volume of material that would slough into the dredged area from the surrounding sediments. There are six different types of dredging scenarios presented in Section 4:

- Dredging for No-Loss of Lake Surface Area (NLSA) (e.g., SMU 1 Alternative 4.A.2);
- Dredging for NLSA & Habitat Optimization and Erosive Protection (H&E) (e.g., SMU 2 Alternative 4.A.3);
- Targeted Dredging (e.g., SMU 6 Alternative 4.D.1);
- Dredge for Mass Removal (e.g., SMU 1 Alternative 4.B.5);
- Dredge for Non-Aqueous Phase Liquid (NAPL) Removal (e.g., SMU 2 Alternative 4.B.4); and
- Dredging to a specific Sediment Effects Concentration (SEC) (e.g., SMU1 Alternative 5.A).

The details and assumptions used in the calculation of the dredge volumes for each dredging scenario are discussed below. In general, there was no distinction made between dredging hydraulically or mechanically when estimating the dredge volumes. The precision of the two techniques are comparable, therefore the associated dredge volumes are assumed to be unaffected if one technique is assumed over another. Table E.1 summarizes the dredge volume estimates associated with each alternative, which are presented in Tables E.2 to E.34. Figures E.1 to E.33 present the dredging areas corresponding to each of the estimates provided in Tables E.2 to E.34.

E.2.1 DREDGE FOR NLSA

Description of Dredge Scenario

Dredging for NLSA includes a dredging/capping combination that, following remediation, would result in no loss of lake surface area. To achieve this, it is assumed that a uniform dredge cut is removed over the portion of the SMU which directly corresponds to where large stone is required in the cap to provide erosive protection. SMU 1 is the only SMU where a NLSA Alternative has been retained. Large stone would be required to a water depth of 5 feet (Figure 4.19). To ensure no loss of lake surface area above this large stone, approximately 1 and a half feet of sediment must be removed in this area. At the 5 foot depth, stone is no longer required; therefore, no further dredging is necessary. Appendix H Attachment C presents estimated

Settlement vs. Dredge Cut graphs for subsurface caps of varying thickness on a SMU by SMU basis. The depth of the dredge cut is estimated using these graphs and the required thickness of the cap. For the purposes of consistency, estimated settlements were rounded to the nearest half-foot.

The area of the dredge cut is assumed to be from the shoreline, out to the current bathymetry line matching the given limit of dredge depth. In SMU 1, the given limit of dredge depth would be 5 feet; therefore approximately 1 and a half feet of sediment would be removed in the area of SMU 1 from the current shoreline to the current 5 foot bathymetry line. Each volume estimate includes the *in situ* volume, along with the overdredge volume and the side-sloughing volume.

In Situ Volume

The dredging area for each SMU alternative was broken up into sub-areas to increase the accuracy of the volume estimate. A shoreline length and an average width (distance from shore) were estimated for each sub-area. The removal volume was estimated as the difference of two triangular shaped "wedges" of sediment. A simple calculation of the removal cut multiplied by the dredge area would be inaccurate, due to the fact that as the dredge cut reaches its limit, the cut gradually wanes in thickness until the toe of the cut, where it terminates. Therefore, as shown in the figure below, a removal cross-section is estimated as the difference between Triangle A and Triangle B. This removal cross-section area was multiplied by the approximate shoreline length to obtain the *in situ* volume.



Overdredge Volume

Overdredge volumes are assumed to be 1 ft (0.3m) over the entire dredged area. This volume was added to the total dredge volume for this dredging scenario.

Side-sloughing Volume

Side sloughing volumes are sediment volumes expected to "slough" in from outside of the *in situ* dredging area, sloping up at a 1:10 slope to the current bathymetry. This volume was added to the total dredge volume for this dredging scenario.

Toe-sloughing Volume

No toe-sloughing results from this dredging scenario due to the fact that as the dredge cut reaches its limit, the cut wanes in thickness until the toe, where it terminates. Therefore, there would be no sediment at the toe to slope "up" to.

E.2.2 DREDGE FOR NLSA & H&E

Description of Dredge Scenario

Dredging for NLSA & H&E includes a dredging/capping combination that would, following remediation, result in no loss of lake surface area, create specific areas of optimized habitat at specific water depths, and provide reduced erosive forces. To achieve this, it is assumed that a "wedge" of sediment would be dredged to a given water depth. The nature of the "wedge" creates a shelf at a desired depth near the shoreline of the particular SMU. The ultimate goal for the shelf is to create an ideal habitat for submerged macrophytes (< 6 foot depth) however, the actual depth is determined by several factors. The depth of removal needed to achieve this depth varies by SMU. The estimated settlement for the isolation cap can be estimated using the Settlement vs. Dredge Cut graphs presented in Appendix H Attachment C. Since the dredge cut is assumed to be a "wedge", the average dredge cut used to determine the estimated settlement in the SMU is assumed to be one-half the height of the wedge (e.g., a wedge cut of 6 feet near-shore would result in an average 3 foot cut over the entire area dredged).

The area of this dredge cut is assumed to be from the shoreline, out to the current bathymetry line matching the given limit of dredge depth. For example, for SMU 1 Alternative 4.A.3, Figure 4.20 shows the average dredge cut line is 6.5 ft deep. The associated dredge area would be from the current shoreline, to the current 6.5 ft (2m) bathymetry line. The *in situ* volume is estimated, along with overdredge and side-sloughing volumes.

In Situ Volume

The dredging area for each SMU alternative was broken up into sub-areas to increase the accuracy of the volume estimate. A shoreline length and an average width (distance from shore) were estimated for each sub area. The removal volume was estimated as a triangular "wedge" of sediment. The removal cross section was estimated by using the known dredge depths, and the average width of the subarea. For most SMUs, the cross-section area was calculated as one-half the product of the width and height of the cut.

However, for SMU 1 Alternative 4.A.3 (Table E.3), volumes were checked for accuracy by computing the volumes using a different method. Although the description for Alternative 4.A.3 is "dredging for 25% removal", the associated dredge cut is similar with the H&E "wedge" cut. In the method used for this estimate, the areas of bathymetry (i.e. area between the 0 and 3 ft (1 m) lines) were determined using GIS modeling. The areas were then multiplied by the average dredge cut of that area (e.g. the average dredge cut for dredging to a 6 ft (2 m) depth in the 0 to 1 meter bathymetry area is 1.5 meters). The *in situ* volume from this estimate was

considerably greater than the method of triangular wedges. Further evaluation of the current bathymetry in SMU 1 indicated that a "hump" exists in the SMU cross section. Figure E.34 depicts a scaled cross-section of SMU 1 and the dredge cut associated with Alternative 4.A.3, developed using GIS. The volumes shown on the Figure, 671,000 cy for the triangular "wedge" and 190,000 cy for the "hump", were also calculated using GIS. Estimating the volume of the wedge shape dredge cut, the formula would be:

(Depth of Dredge x Width (horizontal distance from shore) / 2) x Length of shoreline

To determine the correct factor of division to account for the "hump" volume in the dredge volume estimates presented in this Appendix, the following manipulation was made:

Eliminating the factor of division of two would essentially create a uniformly thick dredge cut, or if depicted on a profile, a rectangular shaped dredge cut. The total volume of this dredge cut would be equal to 2 times the volume of the wedge cut, or 1,342,000 cy (671,000 * 2). The sum of the volumes shown on the profile equals 861,000 cy. Dividing this 861,000 by 1,342,000 demonstrates that the sum of the "wedge" and "hump" volumes equal approximately 64% of the rectangular dredge cut. Therefore, to define the volume of the "wedge" and "hump" with an equation, the result would be the following:

(Depth of Cut x Width of Cut x 64%) x Length of shoreline

Or:

(Depth x Width / 1.6) x Length

Applying this to the dredge volume estimates for SMU 1 Alternative 4.A.4 yields an *in situ* volume of 883,000 yd³, or a 2.5% margin of error from the GIS estimated 861,000 Further analysis of the bathymetry in SMU 1 indicated it appropriate to apply the dividing factor of 1.6 to all sub areas in SMU 1. This was also applied to SMU 1 Alternative 4.A.3.

Overdredge Volume

Overdredge volumes are assumed to be 1 ft (0.3 m) over the entire dredged area. This volume was added to the total dredge volume for this dredging scenario.

Side-sloughing Volume

Side sloughing volumes are sediment volumes expected to "slough" in from outside of the *in situ* dredging area, sloping up at a 1:10 slope to the current bathymetry. This volume was added to the total dredge volume for this dredging scenario.

Toe-sloughing Volume

No toe-sloughing results from this dredging scenario due to the fact that as the dredge cut reaches its limit, the cut wanes in thickness until the toe, where it terminates. Therefore, there would be no sediment at the toe to slope "up" to.

E.2.3 TARGETED DREDGING

Description of Dredge Scenario

Targeted Dredging includes a dredging/capping combination that removes specified volumes of sediment. The purpose of the removal is to enhance the effectiveness of the underwater cap by removing specific areas and/or volumes of material which may decrease the effectiveness of the cap due to high contaminant concentrations and/or high groundwater upwelling rates. This is achieved by removing uniform sediment cut over the specified area. SMUs 3, and 6 are the only SMUs where Targeted Dredging has been developed as an option. The basis for estimating the area associated with each SMU varies for the two SMUs.

Targeted Dredging in SMUs 3 and 6 may be required to ensure the effectiveness of the isolation cap. The distance offshore that is required to be dredged is determined using tables presented in Appendix D: Part A. The cap model predicts the maximum upwelling velocity that the isolation cap can be exposed to and remain effective. Using the table presented in Section DA.13, the maximum velocity tolerable directly corresponds to a required distance offshore to be dredged. The dredge area extends from the shoreline to the distance required by Section DA.13 (e.g., SMU 3 Targeted Dredging distance offshore is 220 ft.). These areas are applied to all polygons required to be capped for the associated alternative. The *in situ* volume is estimated, along with overdredge and side-sloughing volumes.

In Situ Volume

The dredging area for each SMU alternative was established using a given width, or distance from shore, and applicable shoreline lengths. For targeted dredging scenarios, the depth of dredging was constant over the entire SMU, regardless of distance from shore. Therefore, the *in situ* volume was calculated by multiplying the shoreline length, the known dredging depth, and the width of the area.

Overdredge Volume

Overdredge volumes are assumed to be 1 ft (0.3 m) over the entire dredged area (including side or toe-sloughing areas). This volume was added to the total dredge volume for this dredging scenario.

Side-Sloughing Volume

Side sloughing volumes are sediment volumes expected to "slough" in from outside of the *in situ* dredging area, sloping up at a 1:10 slope to the current bathymetry. This volume was added to the total dredge volume for this dredging scenario.

Toe-sloughing Volume

Toe-sloughing volumes are cuts at the toe of the *in situ* dredge cut to allow 1:10 sloping into the remaining lake-ward sediment outside of the targeted dredging area. This volume was added to the total dredge volume for this dredging scenario.

E.2.4 DREDGING FOR MASS REMOVAL IN SMU 1

Description of Dredge Scenario

Dredging for Mass Removal in SMU 1 includes a dredging/backfilling combination that removes specified volumes of sediment over a given area. This dredging scenario is applied to SMU 1, in dredge cuts of 3, 4, and 5 meters, and is applied to the entire SMU. The purpose of the removal is to remove mass of contaminants in areas where sediments are known to contain elevated concentrations of the CPOIs. This is achieved by removing uniform sediment cut over the specified area. The *in situ* volume is estimated, along with overdredge and side-sloughing volumes.

In Situ Volume

The dredging area for each SMU alternative was established using the full area of SMU 1, which is approximately 84.4 acres. The *in situ* volume was calculated by multiplying the applicable meter depth interval (3, 4, or 5), and the area of the SMU.

Overdredge Volume

Overdredge volumes are assumed to be 1 ft (0.3 m) over the entire dredged area (including side or toe-sloughing areas). This volume was added to the total dredge volume for this dredging scenario.

Side-Sloughing Volume

Side sloughing volumes are sediment volumes expected to "slough" in from outside of the *in situ* dredging area, sloping up at a 1:10 slope to the current bathymetry. This volume was added to the total dredge volume for this dredging scenario.

Toe-sloughing Volume

Toe-sloughing volumes are cuts at the toe of the *in situ* dredge cut to allow 1:10 sloping into the remaining lake-ward sediment outside of the dredging area. This volume was added to the total dredge volume for this dredging scenario.

E.2.5 DREDGING FOR NAPL REMOVAL

Description of Dredge Scenario

Dredging for NAPL removal includes a dredging/backfilling combination that removes material known, or anticipated to contain, NAPL. This dredging scenario is applied to the southeast portion of SMU 2, in the area directly offshore of the causeway. There are two NAPL removal scenarios. The areal footprint for the two scenarios is the same; along the causeway from the shoreline to approximately 240' offshore. This area corresponds to areas believed to be impacted by an on-shore NAPL plume. Sediment concentrations of VOCs in samples collected immediately adjacent to the causeway are significantly higher than elsewhere in SMU 2. The two scenarios developed for SMU 2 are Alternative 4.A.3, which includes Targeted Dredging to a depth of 4 Meters (13 feet), and Alternative 4.A.4, which includes full removal of NAPL materials, estimated to be approximately 30 feet deep (both Alternatives include dredging for NLSA & H&E in other areas of the SMU). Full removal of the NAPL-containing sediments is assumed to be achieved by removing a uniform sediment cut over the dredging area. The *in situ* volume is estimated, along with overdredge and side-sloughing volumes.

In Situ Volume

The dredging area for each SMU alternative was established using the area directly offshore from the causeway, from the shoreline to approximately 240' offshore. Just upland from this area, NAPL is known to exist in the "marl" layer, down to approximately 30 feet below ground surface. For the purposes of the Alternative 4.A.4 dredging estimate, it is assumed that the inlake conditions are similar to the upland conditions, and the removal in this area will extend to the bottom of the marl layer. The *in situ* volumes for both alternatives were calculated by multiplying the removal area and the appropriate removal depth.

Overdredge Volume

Overdredge volumes are assumed to be 1 ft (0.3 m) over the entire dredged area (including side or toe-sloughing areas). This volume was added to the total dredge volume for this dredging scenario.

Side-Sloughing Volume

Side sloughing volumes are sediment volumes expected to "slough" in from outside of the *in situ* dredging area, sloping up at a 1:10 slope to the current bathymetry. This volume was added to the total dredge volume for this dredging scenario.

Toe-Sloughing Volume

Toe-sloughing volumes are cuts at the toe of the *in situ* dredge cut to allow 1:10 sloping into the remaining lake-ward sediment outside of dredging area. This volume was added to the total dredge volume for this dredging scenario.

E.2.6 DREDGING TO SPECIFIC SECS

Description of Dredge Scenario

Dredging to Specific SECs includes a dredging only scenario, which entails removal of all sediments known to exceed a specific SEC. To evaluate dredge volumes, each SMU was divided into Thiessen polygons based on historic sampling stations. For some sample stations, locations differed slightly for grab samples collected in the surface interval and samples collected from cores. For these stations, surface grab sample locations were designated with the prefix "S" while core sample locations were designated with the prefix "P". Figures E.32 and E.33 present the Thiessen polygons as they fall within the SMU boundaries for the surface interval. The surface area for each polygon in the surface interval is presented in Table E.33.

Figures E.34 and E.35 present the Thiessen polygons as they fall within SMU boundaries for the 1-2 m depth interval. The surface area for each polygon in Figures E.34 and E.35 is presented in Table E.34. For deeper intervals (i.e., 2 m or greater), the number of station locations decreases because fewer deep cores were collected during the remedial investigation. Because there are fewer station locations at depth, the areas associated with each polygon at depth will differ from those shown in Table E.34.

Results from the samples from each of the Thiessen polygons were compared to several SECs to determine the approximate areas of SEC exceedance. Areas of exceedance are presented in Figures E.36 to E.75. These figures show, for each SEC, areas of exceedance in 1-meter intervals. For figures showing exceedances in the surface interval, Figures E.32 and E.33 provide sample station location and justification. For figures showing exceedance in other intervals, Figures E.34 and E.35 provide sample station location and identification. As discussed, the number of station locations decreases with depth because there were fewer deep cores collected during the remedial investigation. As with other depictions of sediment concentrations in the lake, the SMU boundaries are fixed (i.e., analyte concentrations in one SMU do not influence concentrations in adjacent SMUs).

The data used to prepare these figures were from the 1992 and 2000 remedial investigations. In general, the data used for any particular interval are those from near the surface of that particular interval (i.e., the bioactive zone, generally the top 15 cm). For the surface interval (i.e., the 0-1 m interval), only the 0-2 cm samples from 1992, and 0-15 cm samples from 2000 were used. The following 0-2 cm samples were excluded from the analysis: SF0123 (S340), SF0123R (S340) and SF0173 (S351) because 0-15 cm samples were also collected at these stations.

For deeper intervals, the data set included the profile samples from 1992 and the 2 m and 8 m core samples from 2000. Many of the sampling intervals did not exactly match the 1-m intervals to be plotted and professional judgment was used to select appropriate intervals. The rule of thumb was that most of the sampling interval, if the interval spanned the boundary between two 1-m intervals, had to be in the lower interval in order to be included.

The rationale for using the near surface data for each 1-m interval was that removal to any particular depth would result in residual CPOI concentrations at the surface equal to those observed in the interval directly below. For example, if 2 meters of sediment are removed from SMU 1, the remaining sediment will have, at its surface, contaminant concentrations that are represented by the data currently in the 2 to 3m interval. All dredge volumes discussed in this section are assumed to be done in 1 meter intervals. Dredge cuts of less than 1 meter (e.g., 2 feet) are not considered for this dredging scenario.

Consistent with the recalculation of the mean PEC quotient in March 2004, undetected values were omitted from the dataset. The exception to this was when CPOIs represented sums of analytes (e.g., PCBs, dichlorobenzenes). In this case, undetected values were treated as described on page 5-7 of the Onondaga Lake RI report.

In situ dredge volumes were estimated using the areas of exceedance presented in these figures and the known depth of exceedances. The known depths of exceedances are based on Figures E.36 – E.75, with some consideration given to the limited amount of data available at deeper depths. In many alternatives, a non-exceeding polygon(s) was surrounded by exceeding polygons. In these alternatives, consideration was given to the practicality of dredging a specific area, and the surrounded non-exceeding polygon was included as a "dredged area." Additionally, there were instances within a particular sample location where a "contaminated" (e.g., exceeding a Mean PECQ of 2) sample was at a deeper depth than a "clean" (e.g., less than a Mean PECQ of 2) sample. In many cases, these situations were impacted by the lack of data available at deeper depths. Therefore, the *in situ* dredge volumes associated with these situations was assumed to include all material to the deepest known exceedance. The result of this assumption may be a slight overestimation of the *in situ* dredge volume, however, it is assumed that Pre-Design Investigation would include a thorough sampling protocol which would more accurately delineate the areal and depth extent of the impacted sediments to be dredged.

In several cases, SEC exceedances were detected at the deepest sample interval, suggesting that vertical boundary of the SEC exceeding sediment may not be accurately defined by the existing data. In these alternatives, dredge volumes are noted with a "+" qualifier on the volume estimated table, indicating that the volume may be greater, pending further delineation of the vertical boundary of SEC exceeding sediment.

Overdredge Volume

Overdredge volumes are assumed to be 1 ft (0.3 m) over the entire dredged area. This volume was added to the total dredge volume for this dredging scenario.

Side-Sloughing Volume

Side sloughing volumes are sediment volumes expected to "slough" in from outside of the *in situ* dredging area, sloping up at a 1:10 slope to the current bathymetry. This volume was added to the total dredge volume for this dredging scenario. In certain alternatives (e.g., SMU 5 Alternative 5.D), where the exceeding polygons create many random areas of exceedance, no side-sloughing volumes have been estimated for this dredging scenario due to the complexity of the calculations.

Toe-sloughing Volume

In most scenarios, toe-sloughing volumes were calculated in a similar manner to the sidesloughing volumes, as the amount of material expected to slough into the dredge area from the surrounding area. In certain alternatives (e.g., SMU 5 Alternative 5.D), where the exceeding polygons create many random areas of exceedance, no toe-sloughing volumes have been estimated for this dredging scenario due to the complexity of the calculations.

DOUBLE COUNTED SLOUGHING VOLUMES

Dredge volumes are estimated individually for each SMU alternative. Each alternative includes *in situ*, overdredging, side-sloughing, and toe-sloughing volumes. To simplify the complex process of developing and evaluating the lake-wide alternatives, it was necessary to combine SMU-specific alternatives easily for the purposes of alternative development and comparison. Therefore, SMU-specific alternatives were combined into the various lake-wide alternatives with their corresponding total dredge volumes, estimated as described above. As SMU alternatives are combined with alternatives from adjacent SMUs, there exists the potential for double counting of the side-sloughing volumes. The volumes of double-counted material were estimated for lake-wide Alternatives C, E, F1, and G. For these four Alternatives, the total double-counted volume accounted for an average 1.4% of the total volume associated with that Alternative, with none accounting for more than 2.3%. Due to these relatively small volumes, no modifications were made to the SMU-specific or lake-wide alternatives to account for the double-counted sloughing volumes.

CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATES

Capping material volumes for remedial alternatives presented in Section 4 are estimated using the areal figures and cross-sections presented in Section 4. Total areas requiring capping were typically based on the exceedances in the 0 to 6-inch (15-cm) interval, as noted in Figures E.36 to E.75, with the exception of SMU 4, where the cap area was based on exceedances of the mercury PEC in deeper samples within the 0 to 3-ft (1-m) interval. Similar to the dredge-only scenarios, there were several alternatives where an area not requiring capping was surrounded by areas that did require capping. In consideration of practicality, it was assumed these areas would be capped.

For each alternative, the respective cap was broken into different functional areas. The material components for each functional area were estimated and converted into a unit yd³/acre value. This unit value was then multiplied by the corresponding area of the cap to obtain the estimated volume of material needed to achieve the thickness detailed in the cap cross-sections presented in Section 4. In most cases, the physical makeup of the cap in each functional area was consistent from SMU to SMU, with the exception of the thickness of the sand chemical isolation layer, which varied by SMU. One function area which is not consistent, however, is the habitat buffer zone located near the shoreline of each capping scenario. The material makeup of this portion of the cap is unique for each SMU and is influenced by several factors. Several SMUs required additional protection from shoreline erosion due to the nature of the shoreline material (e.g., SMUs 1, 2, etc). A wind-wave analysis was performed in these areas to determine the size of the armoring stone required for each of the applicable SMUs, which was unique for each SMU. Additionally, the nature of the dredging alternative (NLSA, H&E, etc) also influenced the physical nature of the habitat buffer zone. Dredging for NLSA, or capping-only alternatives required an increased volume of stone to provide erosion protection.

To account for uneven application, mixing with the underlying sediment, and material displacement during installation, it was assumed that the amount of material needing to be placed to obtain a desired thickness would be greater than the actual layer thickness. Therefore, it is assumed that approximately 6 inches (15 cm) of additional material would need to be added to achieve the desired layer thickness.

Cap material volume estimates are presented in Tables E.37 to E.70.

BACKFILL VOLUMES

Backfill is associated with several alternatives. SMU 1 Alternatives 4.A.4 through 4.A.7, SMU 2 Alternatives 4.A.3, 4.A.4, 4.D.3, and 4.D.4, and all dredging to a specific SEC dredging scenarios included backfill as part of the alternative.

Backfill for Mass Removal and NAPL removal alternatives is included to ensure a vertical face does not exist at the shoreline and along the borders of other SMUs Backfill is assumed to be placed on a constant 1:10 slope from the current shoreline to the intersection with isolation cap placed following dredging. The simple figure below illustrates the general concept of backfilling in these scenarios. These volumes were calculated by modeling the post-capping and post-backfill surfaces in GIS and computing the volume difference. The simulated post-removal surface was established using the dredging criteria for the particular dredging scenario, as described in Section E.2.4.



Backfill for dredging to a specific SEC dredging scenarios is included to ensure a vertical face does not exist at the shoreline and along the borders of other SMUs. The simple figure below illustrates the general concept of backfilling in these scenarios. These volumes were calculated by modeling the post-removal and post-backfill surfaces in GIS and computing the volume difference. The simulated post-removal surface was established using the dredging criteria for the particular dredging scenario, as described in Section E.2.4. In addition, a post-backfilling surface was created using a constant slope from the shore, to the toe of the dredging area. The difference of these two surfaces results in the volume of material needed to backfill to the assumed slope conditions.



APPENDIX E

TABLES

Honeywell

SMU	Alternative No.	Description	Area of Dredging Surface (acres)	Total In-situ Dredg Volume (CY) ⁽⁵⁾	ing
00	1	No Action	NA	NA	Γ
	3 A	Capping of Entire SMU	NA	NA	
	4.A.2	Dredging for NLSA ⁽¹⁾ /Capping of Entire SMU	36	151.000	
	4.A.3	Dredging for NLSA & H&E ⁽²⁾ /Capping of Entire SMU	45	354.000	
1	4.A.4	Dredging to Remove 25% of ILWD/Capping of Entire SMU	63	1,015,000	
	4.A.5	Dredge for 3 Meter Removal/Capping of Entire SMU	84	1,566,000	
	4.A.6	Dredge for 4 Meter Removal/Capping of Entire SMU	84	2,094,000	
	4.A.7	Dredge for 5 Meter Removal/Capping of Entire SMU	84	2,637,000	-
	5.A	Full Removal (to Mean PECQ2 ⁽³⁾ , Mean PECQ1 ⁽³⁾ , AET, PEC, or ERL)	84	4,028,000	+
	1	No Action	NA	NA	
	3.A	Capping to Mean PECQ2, Mean PECQ1, or AET	NA	NA	
	3.D	Capping of Entire SMU	NA	NA	
	4.A.3	Dredging for NLSA & H&E & Targeted Dredging to 4 Meter Depth (for NAPL Removal)/Capping to Mean PECQ2, Mean PECQ1, or AET	9.8	169 000	
2	4.A.4	Dredging for NLSA & H&E & Full NAPL Removal/Capping to Mean PECQ2, Mean PECQ1, or AET	9.8	403,000	
	4.D.3	Dredging for NLSA & H&E & Targeted Dredging to 4 Meter Depth (for NAPL Removal)/Capping of Entire SMU	16.6	223,000	
	4.D.4	Dredging for NLSA & H&E & Full NAPL Removal/Capping of Entire SMU	16.6	459,000	
	5.A	Full Removal (to Mean PECQ2, Mean PECQ1, or AET)	15.7	533,000	+
	5.D	Full Removal (to PEC or ERL)	33.8	1,016,000	+
	1	No Action	NA	NA	
	2	Habitat Enhancement	NA	NA	
3	4.A.3	Dredging for NLSA & H&E & Targeted Dredging/Capping to Mean PECQ2 or PEC	10.8	75,000	
	4.E.3	Dredging for NLSA & H&E & Targeted Dredging/Capping to ERL	31.4	341,000	
	5.A	Full Removal (to Mean PECQ2, Mean PECQ1 or PEC)	29	380,000	
	5.E	Full Removal (to ERL)	113	1,427,000	+
	1	No Action	NA	NA	
	3.A	Capping of Entire SMU	NA	NA	
4	4.A.3	Dredging for NLSA & H&E/Capping of Entire SMU	22	135,000	
	5.A	Full Removal (to Mean PECQ2, Mean PECQ1, or AET)	75	2,170,000	┢
	5.D	Full Removal (to PEC or ERL)	/5	3,563,000	+

TABLE E.1 ONONDAGA LAKE REMEDIAL ALTERNATIVES DREDGING VOLUME SUMMARY

Honeywell

			Dredging	Total In-situ Dredging
	Alternative		Surface (acres)	Volume (CY) (5)
SMU	No.	Description	. ,	()()
	1	No Action	NA	NA
	2	Habitat Enhancement	NA	NA
	3.A	Capping to Mean PECQ2	NA	NA
	3.B	Capping to Mean PECQ1	NA	NA
	3.D	Capping to PEC	NA	NA
	3.E	Capping to ERL	NA	NA
5	4.A.3	Dredging for H&E/Capping to Mean PECQ2	20.0	124,000
Э	4.B.3	Dredging for H&E/Capping to Mean PECQ1	23.7	140,000
	4.D.3	Dredging for H&E/Capping to PEC	75	429,000
	4.E.3	Dredging for H&E/Capping to ERL	108	610,000
	5.A	Full Removal (to Mean PECQ2)	35	242,000
	5.B	Full Removal (to Mean PECQ1)	60	410,000
	5.D	Full Removal (to PEC)	234	1,615,000 +
	5.E	Full Removal (to ERL)	349	2,407,000 +
	1	No Action	NA	NA
	4.A.1	Targeted Dredging/Capping to Mean PECQ2	11	148,000
	4.A.3	Dredging for NLSA & H&E & Targeted Dredging/Capping to Mean PECQ2	28	234,000
	4.B.1	Targeted Dredging/Capping to PECQ1	11	148,000
6	4.B.3	Dredging for NLSA & H&E & Targeted Dredging/Capping to PECQ1	33	245,000
0	4.D.1	Targeted Dredging/Capping of Entire SMU	20	346,000
	4.D.3	Dredging for NLSA & H&E & Targeted Dredging/Capping of Entire SMU	67	617,000
	5.A	Full Removal (to Mean PECQ2)	94	2,650,000
	5.B	Full Removal (to Mean PECQ1)	123	3,447,000
	5.D	Full Removal (to PEC or ERL)	156	7,309,000 +
	1	No Action	NA	NA
	3.A	Capping of Entire SMU	NA	NA
7	4.A.3	Dredging for NLSA & H&E/Capping of Entire SMU	13	89,000
	5.A	Full Removal (to Mean PECQ2 or Mean PECQ1)	38	1,485,000 +
	5.C	Full Removal (to AET, PEC or ERL)	38	2,168,000 +

TABLE E.1 (Continued) ONONDAGA LAKE REMEDIAL ALTERNATIVES DREDGING VOLUME SUMMARY

Notes:

(1) Dredging sufficient sediments such that there is no loss of lake surface area following Capping placement.

- (2) Dredging sufficient sediments such that the depth after capping optimizes habitat potential and minimizes erosion potential.
- (3) Mean PEC quotient of 2 + mercury PEC

(4) Mean PEC quotient of 1 + mercury PEC

(5) Includes volumes associated with overdredging (1 foot) and sloughing (based on 10% side slope)

NA - Not applicable

(+) Indicates that the volume is based on the limits of the data, but the depth of SEC exceedance has not been delineated.

Honeywell

TABLE E.2 SMU 1 ALTERNATIVE 4.A.2 DREDGING FOR NLSA/CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE



Notes:

Honeywell

TABLE E.3

SMU 1

ALTERNATIVE 4.A.3 DREDGING FOR NLSA & H&E/CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE



Notes:

Refer to Figure E.2 for dredging areas associated with this alternative A dividing factor of 1.6 was used (as opposed to 2) for this volume estimation to account for the "hump" existing in SMU 1.

Honeywell

TABLE E.4 SMU 1 ALTERNATIVE 4.A.4 DREDGING TO REMOVE 25% OF ILWD/CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE



<u>Notes</u>

Refer to Figure E.3 for dredging areas associated with this alternative

A dividing factor of 1.6 was used (as opposed to 2) for this volume estimation to account for the "hump" existing in SMU 1.

TABLE E.5

SMU 1

ALTERNATIVE 4.A.5 DREDGING TO 3 METER DEPTH/CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE

Total Impacted Area (acres)	Depth of Sediment (known, feet)	Volume limited by depth of available data	<i>In Situ</i> Sediment Volume (yd³)		
84.4	9.8	+	1,334,420		
	Overdredgin	g volume			
	Assumed Overdredging V	olume is 1' over the entire			
		area dredged =	136,165		
Side-Sloughing Volume					
Cut	Length (Ft)	Dredge Height (Ft)	Volume = (10 x H ² x L)/2 (Yd ³)		
East Cut	1963	10	34,912		
West Cut	570	10	10.138		
Outer Boundary	2850	10	50,688		
Total Dredging Volume For This Alternative = 1,566,323 yd ³ +					

Notes:

TABLE E.6

SMU 1

ALTERNATIVE 4.A.6 DREDGING TO 4 METER DEPTH/CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE

Total Impacted Area (acres)	Dep (I	th of Sediment known, feet)	d	Volume limited by epth of available data	<i>In Situ</i> Sediment Volume (yd ³)
84.4		13.12		+	1,786,489
		Overdred	dging vol	ume	
	Assume	ed Overdredging	Volume i	s 1' over the entire area	
				dredged =	136,165
		Side-Slou	ghing Vo	lume	
Cut	Length		(Ft)	Dredge Height (Ft)	Volume = (10 x H ² x L)/2 (Yd ³)
East Cut		1963		13	62,574
West Cut		570		13	18,170
Outer Boundary		2850		13	90,849
Total Dredging Volume For This Alternative = 2,094,247 yd ³ +					

Notes:

TABLE E.7

SMU 1

ALTERNATIVE 4.A.7 DREDGING TO 5 METER DEPTH/CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE

Total Impacted Area (acres)	Depth of Sediment (known, feet)	Volume limited by depth of available data	<i>In Situ</i> Sediment Volume (yd ³)
84.4	16.4	+	2,233,111
	Overdredgin	g volume	
	Assumed Overdredging	y Volume is 1' over the	
		entire area dredged =	136,165
	Side-Sloughi	ng Volume	
Cut	Length (Ft)	Dredge Height (Ft)	Volume = (10 x H ² x L)/2 (Yd ³)
East Cut	1963	16	97.772
West Cut	570	16	28.390
Outer Boundary	2850	16	141,951
Total Dredging Volum	ne For This Alternativ	/e 2 637 300 vd	3 _
	=	2,637,390 yu	Ť

Notes:

TABLE E.8

SMU 1 ALTERNATIVE 5.A FULL REMOVAL (TO MEAN PECQ2, MEAN PECQ1, AET, PEC OR ERL) DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE

Total Impacted Area (acres)	Depth of Sediment (known, feet)	Volume limited by depth of available data	<i>In Situ</i> Sediment Volume (yd ³)			
84.4	26.25	+	3,574,340			
	Overdredging	j volume				
	Assumed Overdredging	Volume is 1' over the				
	e	entire area dredged =	136,165			
Side-Sloughing Volume						
Cut	Length (Ft)	Dredge Height (Ft)	Volume = (10 x H ² x L)/2 (Yd ³)			
East Cut	1963	26	245,739			
West Cut	570	26	71,356			
Total Dredging Vol	ume For This Alternative		- 13			
	=	4,027,599 3	/d +			

Notes:

TABLE E.9 SMU 2 ALTERNATIVE 4.A.3 DREDGING FOR NLSA & H&E & TARGETED DREDGING TO 4 METER DEPTH/CAPPING TO MEAN PECQ2, MEAN PECQ1, OR AET DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE



Notes:

TABLE E.10 SMU 2 ALTERNATIVE 4.A.4 DREDGING FOR NLSA, H&E & FULL NAPL REMOVAL/CAPPING TO MEAN PECQ2, MEAN PECQ1, OR AET DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE



Notes:

TABLE E.11 SMU 2 ALTERNATIVE 4.D.3 DREDGING FOR NLSA & H&E & TARGETED DREDGING TO 4 METER DEPTH/CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE



Notes:
Area	Height (Ft)	Average Width of Area (Ft)	Area Length (Ft)	Volume	(Yd³)
1	NA	NA	NA	NA	
2	8	310	1080	49600	
3	8	60	320	2900	
4	8	190	160	4600	
		Total in s	<i>situ</i> Volume =	57100	
	<u>Sid</u>	le-Sloughing Volum	<u>ies</u>		
Face	Height (Ft)	Area Le (Ft)	ngth	Volume	(Yd³)
East Face	7.5	79		300	
West Face	7.5	158		600	
Assumed Overdre	<u>Overd</u> i edging Volume	redging Volume e is 1' over the entire area dredged =	14,895	yd ³ Targeted Di	redging
ne Area of Target	ed Dredging				
<u>rea 2:</u> ectangular Area	873' x :	240' x 30'			v
verdredging - 1' o	ver entire area	à			
loughing Volume	(30' x 3	300' x 873')/(2*27)			
Shore	line Hot Spot Dred Volume	Sloughing Volume Rei	maining Sediment	TOT/ TARGE	AL VOLU TED DR
noight = 50 feet 🧹					

TABLE E.12 SMU 2 ALTERNATIVE 4.D.4 DREDGING FOR NLSA & H&E & FULL NAPL REMOVAL/CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE

Notes:

Refer to Figure E.6 for dredging areas associated with this alternative

TABLE E.13 SMU 2 ALTERNATIVE 5 DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE

ALTERNATIVE 5.A FULL REMOVAL (TO MEAN PECQ2, MEAN PECQ1, OR AET)						
Total Impacted Area (acres)	Depth of Sediment (known, feet)	Volume limited by depth of available data	<i>In Situ</i> Sediment Volume (yd³)			
10.9	6.5	+	114,305			
4.8	30	+	232,320			
	Overdredgin	g volume				
	Assumed Overdredging	Volume is 1' over the				
		entire area dredged =	25,329			
	Side-Sloughir	ng volume				
Cut	Length	Dredge Height	Volume = (10 x H ² x L)/2			
Cut	(Ft)	(Ft)	(Yd ³)			
Lakeward Cut Area	823	23.50	84,167			
West Cut Area 1	120	23.50	12,272			
East Cut Area 1	120	30.00	20,000			
East Cut Area 2	110	30.00	18,333			
West Cut Area 2	158	30.00	26,333			
Total Dredging Volu	me For This Alternative	2				
	= 533,060 yd ³ +					
Notes:						

Refer to Figure E.7 for dredging areas associated with this alternative

Area #2 Listed above (4.8 acres) is included as Full NAPL Removal - With the same dredging footprint as in previous SMU 2 Alternatives

TABLE E.14SMU 2ALTERNATIVE 5 DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE

ALTERNATIVE 5.D FULL REMOVAL (TO PEC OR ERL)						
Total Impacted Area (acres)	Depth of Sediment (known, feet)	Volume limited by depth of available data	<i>In Situ</i> Sediment Volume (yd³)			
29	13.12	+	613,841			
4.8	30	+	232,320			
	Overdredgin	g volume				
	Assumed Overdredging	Volume is 1' over the				
		entire area dredged =	54,531			
	Side-Sloughir	ng volume				
C4	Length	Dredge Height	$Volume = (10 \times H^2 \times L)/2$			
Cut	(Ft)	(Ft)	(Yd ³)			
Lakeward Cut Area	823	17.00	44,046			
West Cut Area 1	120	17.00	6,422			
East Cut Area 1	120	30.00	20,000			
East Cut Area 2	110	30.00	18,333			
West Cut Area 2	158	30.00	26,333			
Total Dredging Volume For This Alternative = 1,015,826 yd ³ +						
Notes:						

Refer to Figure E.8 for dredging areas associated with this alternative

Area #2 Listed above (4.8 acres) is included as Full NAPL Removal - With the same dredging footprint as in previous SMU 2 Alternatives

TABLE E.15

SMU 3

ALTERNATIVE 4.A.3 DREDGING FOR NLSA & H&E AND TARGETED DREDGING / CAPPING TO MEAN PECQ2, MEAN PECQ1, or PEC

DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE



Notes:

Refer to Figure E.9 for dredging areas associated with this alternative

TABLE E.16

SMU 3

ALTERNATIVE 4.E.3 DREDGING FOR NLSA & H&E AND TARGETED DREDGING / CAPPING TO ERL DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE



Notes:

Refer to Figure E.10 for dredging areas associated with this alternative

TABLE E.17

SMU 3 ALTERNATIVE 5 DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE

ALTERNATIV	'E 5.A FULL REMOVAL ((TO MEAN PECQ2, I	MEAN PECQ1, or PEC)
Total Impacted Area (acres)	Depth of Sediment (known, feet)	Volume limited by depth of available data	<i>In Situ</i> Sediment Volume (yd ³)
28.9	6.56	+	305,862
	Overdredging	volume	
	Assumed Overdredgin	g Volume is 1' over	
	the en	itire area dredged =	46,625
	Side-Sloughinç	g volume	
0	Length	Dredge Height	Volume = $(10 \times H^2 \times L)/2$
Cut	(Ft)	(Ft)	(Yd ³)
Total Sloughing	3500	6.56	27,892
Total Dredging Volu	ume For This Alternative	ć	
	=	380,380 y	/d ³ +

Notes:

Refer to Figure E.11 for dredging areas associated with Alternative 5.A

TABLE E.18

SMU 3 ALTERNATIVE 5 DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE

	ALTERNATIVE 5.E FULL REMOVAL (TO ERL)								
Total Impacted Area (acres)	Depth of Sediment (known, feet)	Volume limited by depth of available data	<i>In Situ</i> Sediment Volume (yd ³)						
112.91	6.56	1,194,979							
Overdredging volume									
	Assumed Overdredgin	g Volume is 1' over							
	the en	tire area dredged =	182,161						
	Side-Sloughing	y volume							
Cut	Length (Ft)	Dredge Height (Ft)	Volume = (10 x H ² x L)/2 (Yd ³)						
Total Sloughing	6219	6.56	49,560						
Total Dradging Valu	mo For This Altornativo								
		1,426,701 y	/d ³ +						

Notes:

Refer to Figure E.12 for dredging areas associated with Alternative 5.E

ONONDAGA LAKE FEASIBILITY STUDY APPENDIX E

TABLE E.19

SMU 4

ALTERNATIVE 4.A.3 DREDGING FOR NLSA & H&E/ISOLATION CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE



Notes:

Refer to Figure E.13 for dredging areas associated with this alternative

P:\Honeywell -SYR\741627\NOV FINAL FS\Appendix E\Tables E.19-E.20 11-30-04.xls November 30, 2004

PARSONS

TABLE E.20

SMU 4

ALTERNATIVE 5 DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE

ALTERNATIVE 5.A FULL REMOVAL (TO MEAN PECQ2, MEAN PECQ1, OR AET)							
Total Impacted Area (acres)	Depth of Sediment (known, feet)	Volume limited by depth of available data	<i>In Situ</i> Sediment Volume (yd ³)				
75	16.4	+	1,984,400				
	Overdredging	volume					
	Assumed Overdredgin	g Volume is 1' over					
	the en	tire area dredged =	121,000				
	Side-Sloughing	g volume					
Cut	Length	Dredge Height	Volume = (10 x H ² x L)/2				
Cui	(Ft)	(Ft)	(Yd ³)				
East Cut	780	16.40	38,850				
West Cut	520	16.40	25,900				
Total Dradaina Val	une Fer This Alternative						
Total Dredging vol	=	2,170,150)	/d ³ +				
		, -, .					
,	ALTERNATIVE 5.D FULL	REMOVAL (TO PEO	C OR ERL)				
Total Impacted Area (acres)	Depth of Sediment (known, feet)	Volume limited by depth of available data	<i>In Situ</i> Sediment Volume (yd ³)				
75	26.25	+	3,176,250				
	5-acre hot	spot excavation =	100,000				
	O urse des desires						
	Overareaging	volume a Volumo is 1' ovor					
	the en	tire area dredged =	121,000				
	Side-Sloughing	g volume					
04	Length	Dredge Height	Volume = (10 x H ² x L)/2				
Cut	(Ft)	(Ft)	(Yd ³)				
East Cut	780	26.25	99,531				
West Cut	520	26.25	66,354				
Total Dredging Volu	ume For This Alternative	<u> </u>					
Total Dredging Volume For This Alternative = 3,563,135 yd ³ +							

Notes:

Refer to Figure E.14 for dredging areas associated with Alternatives 5.A or 5.D



Honeywell

TABLE E.21 SMU 5 ALTERNATIVE 4.A.3 DREDGING FOR NLSA & H&E/CAPPING TO MEAN PECQ2 DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE



Notes:

Refer to Figure E.15 for dredging areas associated with this alternative

Honeywell

TABLE E.22 SMU 5 ALTERNATIVE 4.B.3 DREDGING FOR NLSA & H&E/CAPPING TO MEAN PECQ1 DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE



Notes:

Refer to Figure E.16 for dredging areas associated with this alternative

TABLE E.23SMU 5ALTERNATIVE 4 DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE

Total Impacted Area (acres)	Depth of Sediment (known, feet)	<i>In Situ</i> Sediment Volume (yd ³)
76	5	306,533
	Overdredging volume	
	Assumed Overdredging Volum	ne is 1'
	over the entire area dree	dged = 122,613
Total Dredging Volun	ne For This Alternative	00.4.47 v/d ³ ·
	= 42	(9,147 yu +

ALTERNATIVE 4.E.3 DREDGING FOR NSLA & H&E/CAPPING TO ERL								
Total Impacted Area (acres)	Depth of Sediment (known, feet)	<i>In Situ</i> Sediment Volume (yd ³)						
108	5	435,600						
	Overdredging volum	ne						
	Assumed Overdredging V	olume is 1'						
	over the entire area	dredged = 174,240						
Total Dredging Vol	ume For This Alternative =	609,840 yd ³ +						

Notes:

Refer to Figure E.17 for dredging areas associated with Alternative 4.D.3 Refer to Figure E.18 for dredging areas associated with Alternative 4.E.3



TABLE E.24SMU 5ALTERNATIVE 5 DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE

ALTERNATIVE 5.A DREDGING TO MEAN PECQ2								
Total Impacted Area (acres)	Depth of Sediment (known, feet)	Volume limited by depth of available data	<i>In Situ</i> Sediment Volume (yd ³)					
35	3.28	+	185,211					
	Overdredging	volume						
	Assumed Overdredging V	olume is 1' over the						
	er	ntire area dredged =	56,467					
		0	,					
Total Dredging Vol	ume For This Alternative	L .						
rotal broaging rot	=	241.677	/d ³ +					
	—	,						
	ALTERNATIVE 5.B DR	EDGING TO MEAN	PECQ1					
Total Impacted Area (acres)	Depth of Sediment (known, feet)	Volume limited by depth of available data	<i>In Situ</i> Sediment Volume (yd ³)					
59.33	3.28	+	313,959					
	Overdredging volume Assumed Overdredging Volume is 1' over the							
	er	tire area dredged =	95.719					

Total Dredging Volume For This Alternative = 409,678 yd³+

Notes:

Refer to Figure E.19 for dredging areas associated with Alternative 5.A Refer to Figure E.20 for dredging areas associated with Alternative 5.B

TABLE E.25 SMU 5 ALTERNATIVE 5 DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE

ALTERNATIVE 5.D DREDGING TO PEC							
Total Impacted Area (acres)	Depth of Sediment (known, feet)	Volume limited by depth of available data	<i>In Situ</i> Sediment Volume (yd ³)				
233.89	3.28	+	1,237,684				
	Overdredging	volume					
	Assumed Overdredging V	olume is 1' over the					
	en	tire area dredged =	377,343				
Total Dredging Volu	Ime For This Alternative	4 9 4 5 9 9 9 1					
	=	1,615,026)	/d +				
	ALTERNATIVE 5.	E DREDGING TO E	RL				
Total Impacted Area (acres)	Depth of Sediment (known, feet)	Volume limited by depth of available data	<i>In Situ</i> Sediment Volume (yd³)				
348.6	3.28	+	1,844,698				
Overdredging volume Assumed Overdredging Volume is 1' over the							

entire area dredged = 562,408 Total Dredging Volume For This Alternative = 2,407,106 yd³ +

Notes:

Refer to Figure E.21 for dredging areas associated with Alternative 5.D Refer to Figure E.22 for dredging areas associated with Alternative 5.E

Honeywell

TABLE E.26

SMU 6

ALTERNATIVE 4.A.1 TARGETED DREDGING/CAPPING TO MEAN PECQ2 DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE



Notes:

Refer to Figure E.23 for dredging areas associated with this alternative

Honeywell

TABLE E.27

SMU 6

ALTERNATIVE 4.A.3 DREDGING FOR NLSA & H&E & TARGETED DREDGING/CAPPING TO MEAN PECQ2 DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE



Notes:

Refer to Figure E.24 for dredging areas associated with this alternative

Honeywell

TABLE E.28

SMU 6

ALTERNATIVE 4.B.1 TARGETED DREDGING/CAPPING TO MEAN PECQ1 DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE



Notes:

Refer to Figure E.25 for dredging areas associated with this alternative

Honeywell

TABLE E.29

SMU 6

ALTERNATIVE 4.B.3 DREDGING FOR NLSA & H&E & TARGETED DREDGING/CAPPING TO MEAN PECQ1 DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE

<u>Area</u>	Height (Ft)	Average Width of Area (Ft)	Area Length (Ft)	Volume (Yd ³)	
1	6.5	642	1114	86100	-
2	6.5	460	857.1	47500	H
3	6.5	716	100	8700	
Targeted Dredging	6.5	220	1971	104400	
1	6.5	220	1114	-29600	
2	6.5	220	857.1	-22700	Bold numbers are corrections for double counted volumes whic are caused by the targeted dredging. This volume was calculate
		Total <i>in</i> :	s <i>itu</i> Volume =	194400	by subtracting the portion of normal removal which overlapped t targeted dredging area.
	<u>Side</u>	-Sloughing Volu	mes		
Face	Height (Ft)	Area Lo (Ft	ength t)	Volume (Yd ³)	
East Face	6.5	642	2.5	1700	Removal Volume Volume = $\frac{10 \times (H^{2}) \times L}{c}$
West Face	6.5	78	0	2100	
		Total slough	ning Volume =	3800	H
Assumed Overdredgin	<mark>Overd</mark> g Volume is 1' or	redging Volume ver the entire area dredged =	<u>47,166 y</u>	yd ³	Sloughing Volume
	Total Dredgi This Alt	ing Volume For ternative =	245,366)	yd ³	

TABLE E.30

SMU 6

ALTERNATIVE 4.D.1 TARGETED DREDGING/CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE

<u>Area</u>	Height (Ft)	Average Width of Area (Ft)	Area Length (Ft)	Volume (Yd ³)	
Targeted Dredging	6.5	220	4969	263200	
		Total <i>in</i> s	<i>situ</i> Volume =	263,200 yd ³	
Overdredging - 1' over	entire area			40,488 yd ³	
Side-Sloughing Volume 10:1 Slope outward fro	e = (496 m entire perir	69+ 220 + 220) x 6 neter	6.5' x 65'/(2*27)	42,320 yd ³	
Height = 6.5 ft (2m)	Hot Spot Volume	Sloughing Volume	Remaining Sedimer	nt 1	
тот		FOR TARGETEI	D DREDGING:	346,009 yd ³	

Notes:

Refer to Figure E.27 for dredging areas associated with this alternative

Honeywell

TABLE E.31

SMU 6

ALTERNATIVE 4.D.3 DREDGING FOR NLSA & H&E & TARGETED DREDGING/CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU



Notes:

Refer to Figure E.28 for dredging areas associated with this alternative

ALTERNATIVE 5 DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE										
	ALTERNATIVE 5.A FULL REMOVAL (TO MEAN PECQ2)									
Total Impacted Area (acres)	Depth of Sediment (known, feet)	Volume limited by depth of available data	<i>In Situ</i> Sediment Volume (yd ³)							
94.4	16.4	+	2,497,698							
	Overdredging Assumed Overdredging	g volume Volume is 1' over the entire area dredged =	152,299							
	Side-Sloughir	ng volume								
Cut East Cut	Length (Ft) 0	Dredge Height (Ft) 16.40	Volume = (10 x H ² x L)/2 (Yd ³) 0							
West Cut	0	16.40	U							
Total Dredging Volu	ume For These Alternativ =	e 2,649,997 y	′d ³ +							
	ALTERNATIVE 5.B FULI	L REMOVAL (TO MEAI	N PECQ1)							
Total Impacted Area (acres)	Depth of Sediment (known, feet)	Volume limited by depth of available data	<i>In Situ</i> Sediment Volume (yd³)							
122.8	16.4	+	3,249,124							
	Overdredgin	a volume								
	Assumed Overdredging	Volume is 1' over the								
	······································	entire area dredged =	198,117							
	Side-Sloughir	ng volume	× + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +							
Cut	Length (Et)	Dreage Height (Et)	volume = (10 X H X L)/2							
Fast Cut	(Fi) 0	(FL) 16.40	(Ya')							
West Cut	0	16.40	0							
	Ū	10110	·							
Total Dredging Volu	Ime For These Alternativ	e 3 447 242 v	/d ³ +							
	-	•,••,=•= ,								
	ALTERNATIVE 5.D FUL	L REMOVAL (TO PEC	OR ERL)							
I otal Impacted	Depth of Sediment	Volume limited by	In Situ Sediment Volume							
(acres)	(known, feet)	deput of available data	(yd³)							
156.17	26.25	+	6,613,800							
	Overdredging	g volume								
	Assumed Overdreuging	entire area dredged =	251,954							
	Side-Sloughir	ng volume								
Cut	Length	Dredge Height	Volume = $(10 \times H^2 \times L)/2$							
Feed Out	(Ft)	(Ft)	(Yd ³)							
East Cut	2258	26.25	200,130 155 400							
west Out	1210	20.20	100,422							
Total Dredging Volu	me For These Alternativ	e	2							

7,309,306 yd³+

TABLE E.32 SMU 6

Notes: Refer to Figure E.29 for dredging areas associated with Alternative 5.A Refer to Figure E.30 for dredging areas associated with Alternative 5.B Refer to Figure E.31 for dredging areas associated with Alternative 5.D

=

ONONDAGA LAKE FEASIBILITY STUDY APPENDIX E

TABLE E.33

SMU 7

ALTERNATIVES 4.A.3 DREDGING FOR NLSA & H&E/CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE



Notes:

Refer to Figure E.32 for dredging areas associated with this alternative

P:\Honeywell -SYR\741627\NOV FINAL FS\Appendix E\Tables E.33-E.34 11-30-04.xls November 30, 2004

PARSONS

TABLE E.34

SMU 7

ALTERNATIVE 5 DREDGING VOLUME ESTIMATE

ALTERNATIVE 5.A FULL REMOVAL (TO MEAN PECQ2 OR MEAN PECQ1)							
Total Impacted Area (acres)	Depth of Sediment (known, feet)	Volume limited by depth of available data	<i>In Situ</i> Sediment Volume (yd ³)				
37.8	19.7	+	1,201,385				
	Overdredging	volume					
	Assumed Overdredging V	olume is 1' over the					
	en	tire area dredged =	60,984				
	Side-Sloughing	g volume					
Cut	Length	Dredge Height	Volume = $(10 \times H^2 \times L)/2$				
Cut	(Ft)	(Ft)	(Yd ³)				
East Cut	1433	19.70	102,988				
West Cut	1666	19.70	119,733				
Total Dredging Vol	ume For This Alternative	1,485,089)	/d ³ +				
AL	TERNATIVE 5.C FULL RE	EMOVAL (TO AET, P	PEC, OR ERL)				
Total Impacted Area (acres)	Depth of Sediment (known, feet)	Volume limited by depth of available data	<i>In Situ</i> Sediment Volume (yd ³)				
37.8	26.25	+	1,600,830				
	Overdredging	volume					
	Assumed Overdredging V	olume is 1' over the					
	en	tire area dredged =	60,984				
	Side-Sloughing	g volume					
Cut	Length	Dredge Height	Volume = (10 x H ² x L)/2				
Out	(Ft)	(Ft)	(Yd³)				
East Cut	1966	26.25	250,870				
West Cut	2000	26.25	255,208				
Tetal Dradning Valuma Far This Alternative							
Total Dredging Vol	ume For This Alternative						

Notes:

Refer to Figure E.33 for dredge areas associated with these alternatives



Honeywell

TABLE E.35ONONDAGA LAKETHIESSEN POLYGON AREAS (surface interval)

SMU	Polygon Number	Polygon Area (acres)		SMU	Polygon Number	Polygon Area (acres)
1	S14	2.49	-	2	S28	0.58
1	S15	2.49		2	S307	0.33
1	S20	1.62		2	S308	3.83
1	S21	1.30		2	S325	0.68
1	S29	0.91		2	S326	1.83
1	S309	2.92		2	S328	1.22
1	S310	5.69		2	S329	2.67
1	S311	1.81		2	S330	1.32
1	S312	3.39		2	S331	4.44
1	S338	1.49		2	S332	2.28
1	S340	1.65		2	S333	0.80
1	S341	2.63		2	S334	0.49
1	S342	4.19		2	S335	0.25
1	S343	3.87		2	S336	0.54
1	S344	9.55		2	S337	3.06
1	S345	2.04		2	S339	0.99
1	S346	0.69		2	S35	1.20
1	S347	3.89		2	S36	1.07
1	S348	3.51		2	S37	1.63
1	S349	1.11		2	S38	0.97
1	S350	3.50		2	S39	0.87
1	S401	2.41		2	S400	0.89
1	S402	3.36		2	S434	0.80
1	S403	4.23		2	S435	0.31
1	S404	3.97		2	S47	0.70
1	S405	8.64				
1	S406	1.11				



Honeywell

TABLE E.35 ONONDAGA LAKE THIESSEN POLYGON AREAS (surface interval)

SMIL	SMU Polygon Polygon Area		SMU	Polygon	Polygon Area	
3110	Number	(acres)		3110	Number	(acres)
3	S306	9.96		5	S100	8.35
3	S324	5.83		5	S101	15.75
3	S361	10.29		5	S104	8.16
3	S362	7.41		5	S105	6.75
3	S363	4.50		5	S108	6.53
3	S364	11.91		5	S109	2.21
3	S365	6.24		5	S110	7.35
3	S48	9.04		5	S111	21.61
3	S53	2.95		5	S112	32.57
3	S54	1.73		5	S113	8.64
3	S55	0.99		5	S26	12.25
3	S62	12.02		5	S34	18.50
3	S67	8.23		5	S356	13.05
3	S68	14.43		5	S357	4.82
3	S74	7.38		5	S366	14.46
				5	S367	8.93
4	S301	9.49	1	5	S368	20.90
4	S302	3.74		5	S369	17.45
4	S304	5.62		5	S370	8.30
4	S305	5.96		5	S371	27.09
4	S358	3.30		5	S372	16.94
4	S359	5.64		5	S373	13.63
4	S360	7.47		5	S374	40.89
4	S75	6.66		5	S45	7.52
4	S76	7.24		5	S46	3.26
4	S77	7.45		5	S61	31.38
4	S81	5.28		5	S66	23.27
4	S82	2.43		5	S71	6.95
4	S83	1.90		5	S72	5.38
4	S84	2.77		5	S73	10.64
				5	S87	14.36
				5	S92	13.57
				5	S93	15.08
				5	S94	11.29
				5	S95	7.91
				2	200	



TABLE E.35 ONONDAGA LAKE THIESSEN POLYGON AREAS (surface interval)

SMIT	Polygon	Polygon Area	SMIT	Polygon	Polygon Area
3110	Number	(acres)	310	Number	(acres)
6	S10	5.81	8	S102	83.53
6	S11	7.41	8	S103	75.69
6	S12	9.10	8	S106	64.24
6	S13	14.52	8	S107	82.47
6	S16	4.50	8	S23	12.13
6	S17	8.47	8	S24	22.93
6	S18	6.94	8	S25	45.22
6	S19	11.73	8	S27	16.47
6	S316	5.41	8	S30	24.71
6	S317	7.89	8	S303	24.37
6	S318	3.42	8	S31	38.86
6	S319	10.87	8	S32	40.66
6	S320	12.39	8	S327	14.09
6	S321	12.87	8	S33	52.58
6	S322	8.14	8	S354	26.56
6	S323	4.33	8	S355	13.29
6	S6	7.50	8	S40	22.25
6	S7	2.24	8	S41	26.99
6	S8	4.38	8	S42	43.01
6	S9	8.25	8	S43	50.55
			8	S44	53.38
7	S1	1.16	8	S49	46.37
7	S2	1.85	8	S50	35.60
7	S22	4.29	8	S51	42.89
7	S3	2.13	8	S52	66.01
7	S313	0.60	8	S56	29.88
7	S314	1.45	8	S57	29.27
7	S315	3.45	8	S58	58.78
7	S351	0.20	8	S59	48.45
7	S352	2.52	8	S60	42.91
7	S353	5.42	8	S63	56.23
7	S4	4.51	8	S64	50,56
7	S407	4.47	8	S65	58.61
7	S5	5.51	8	S69	74.94
			8	S70	55.75
			8	S78	28.93
			8	S79	33,44
			8	S80	71,47
			8	S85	20.76
			8	S86	10.66
			8	S88	25.31
			8	S89	21.81
			8	S90	31.65
			8	S91	49.43
			8	S96	45.88
			8	S97	29.36
			8	S98	44 22
			8	S99	37.27



Honeywell

TABLE E.36 ONONDAGA LAKE THIESSEN POLYGON AREAS (1–2m interval)

CMU	Polygon	Polygon Area	SMU		Polygon	Polygon Area
SIVIO	Number	(acres)			Number	(acres)
1	P15	9.60		6	P12	10.46
1	P22	3.24		6	P19	16.14
1	P23	5.14		6	S316	16.98
1	P29	2.67		6	S317	17.23
1	S309	3.84		6	S318	14.15
1	S310	3.81		6	S319	12.07
1	S311	3.47		6	S320	4.70
1	S312	7.37		6	S321	16.73
1	S338	1.69		6	S322	22.72
1	S340	1 76		6	S323	24.99
1	S341	4 02		0	0020	21100
1	S342	5 54		7	P3	6 40
1	S343	5 38		7	P4	5 17
1	S344	6.48		7	D8	5.26
1	S345	2 20		7	PO	2.07
1	S345 S247	6.53		7	F 9 6212	2.07
1	\$240	4.22		7	S214	2.56
1	S340	4.22		7	S215	2.50
1	5349 5250	1.10		7	5315 6251	3.03 0.20
1	3350	5.15		7	S351	0.20
2	0007	4.04		7	5352	2.82
2	5307	4.81		7	5353	8.40
2	5308	3.83				
2	5325	1.30				
2	5326	2.15				
2	5328	1.23				
2	S329	3.48				
2	5330	1.67				
2	\$331	4.44				
2	S332	2.97				
2	\$333	0.97				
2	S334	0.50				
2	S335	0.25				
2	S336	0.54				
2	S337	3.06				
2	S339	1.56				
2	S434	0.94				
3	S306	51.58				
3	S324	61.33				
4	P83	7.12				
4	P84	3.58				
4	S301	12.94				
4	S302	1.55				
4	S304	19.90				
4	S305	29.84				



Honeywell

TABLE E.36 ONONDAGA LAKE THIESSEN POLYGON AREAS (1–2m interval)

SWIT	Polygon	Polygon Area
SINO	Number	(acres)
8	P102	348.72
8	P25	45.13
8	P30	26.72
8	P31	38.88
8	P32	43.16
8	P33	51.61
8	P39	10.54
8	P41	28.30
8	P42	44.44
8	P43	48.10
8	P44	55.33
8	P49	44.26
8	P50	38.10
8	P52	69.90
8	P56	28.61
8	P57	29.69
8	P58	57.24
8	P59	83.80
8	P63	54.81
8	P64	48.44
8	P65	61.14
8	P69	74.09
8	P70	51.37
8	P78	56.29
8	P80	98.08
8	P85	20.54
8	P86	20.14
8	P88	56.80
8	P89	25.63
8	P90	138.90
8	S24	32.61
8	S27	15.63
8	S303	24.97
8	S327	11.82
8	S354	24.76
8	S355	14.94
8	S40	14.75
8	S51	42.15



Honeywell

	No.						
	ē			Sand Required	Gravel Required	Stone Required	Wetland Material or
	ativ		Capped	for Capping	for Capping	for Capping	Backfill Required for
	LUS		Area	Alternative	Alternative	Alternative	Capping Alternative
SMI	Alte	Description	(Acres)	(Yd ³)	(Yd ³)	(Yd ³)	(Yd ³)
	1	No Action	NA	NA	NA	NA	ŇÁ
	3.A	Capping of Entire SMU	84	626.200	45.700	87.400	19.800
	4.A.2	Dredging for NLSA ⁽¹⁾ /Capping of Entire SMU	84	649,200	63,700	134.800	NA
	4.A.3	Dredging for NLSA & H&E ⁽²⁾ /Capping of Entire SMU	84	654.000	73.600	12.000	1.800
1	4.A.4	Dredging to Remove 25% of ILWD/Capping of Entire SMU	84	610.000	98,100	0	71.587
	4.A.5	Dredge for 3 Meter Removal/Capping of Entire SMU	84	615,700	80,700	5.100	19,500
	4.A.6	Dredge for 4 Meter Removal/Capping of Entire SMU	84	637,600	64,200	5,100	71,587
	4.A.7	Dredge for 5 Meter Removal/Capping of Entire SMU	84	657,700	28.900	5.100	144.878
	5.A	Full Removal (to Mean PECQ2 ⁽⁴⁾ , Mean PECQ1 ⁽⁴⁾ , AET, PEC, or ERL)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	1	No Action	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	3.A	Capping to Mean PECQ2, Mean PECQ1, or AET	16	123,100	8,400	2,000	3,400
	3.D	Capping of Entire SMU	34	269,100	15,200	7,100	7,600
		Dredging for NI SA & H&E & Targeted Dredging to 4 Meter Depth (for NAP)					·
	4.A.3	Removal)/Capping to Mean PECQ2 Mean PECQ1 or AET	16	124 100	10 400	4 300	15 520
		Dradaing for NILCA & LISE & Full NADI. Domovol/Copping to Moon DECO2	10	124,100	10,400	4,000	10,020
2	4.A.4	Dreuging for NLSA & HAE & Full NAPL Removal/Capping to Mean PECQ2,	40	404400	40,400	1.000	400,400
		Mean PECQ1, or AEI	16	124,100	10,400	4,300	188,423
	4 D 3	Dredging for NLSA & H&E & Targeted Dredging to 4 Meter Depth (for NAPL					
	4.D.0	Removal)/Capping of Entire SMU	34	261,400	20,300	8,000	15,520
	4.D.4	Dredging for NLSA & H&E & Full NAPL Removal/Capping of Entire SMU	34	261,400	20,300	8,000	188,423
	5.A	Full Removal (to Mean PECQ2, Mean PECQ1, or AET)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	5.D	Full Removal (to PEC or ERL)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	1	No Action	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2	Habitat Enhancement	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	1 1 2	Dredging for NLSA & H&E & Targeted Dredging/Capping to Mean PECQ2 or					
3	4.A.5	PEC	29	129,400	17,600	NA	NA
	4.E.3	Dredging for NLSA & H&E & Targeted Dredging/Capping to ERL	113	494,600	77,200	NA	NA
	5.A	Full Removal (to Mean PECQ2, Mean PECQ1 or PEC)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	5.E	Full Removal (to ERL)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	1	No Action	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	3.A	Capping of Entire SMU	75	275,000	42,500	16,700	25,900
4	4.A.3	Dredging for NLSA & H&E/Capping of Entire SMU	75	300,300	60,500	0	300
	5.A	Full Removal (to Mean PECQ2, Mean PECQ1, or AET)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	5.D	Full Removal (to PEC or ERL)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

TABLE E.37 ONONDAGA LAKE REMEDIAL ALTERNATIVES CAPPING MATERIAL VOLUME SUMMARY

Ś Sand Required Gravel Required Stone Required Wetland Material or Alternative for Capping **Backfill Required for** for Capping for Capping Capped Alternative Alternative Alternative Capping Alternative SMU Area (Yd^3) (Yd^3) Description (Acres) (Yd^3) (Yd^3) No Action NA NA NA NA NA 1 2 Habitat Enhancement NA NA NA NA NA Capping to Mean PECQ2 36 123,700 18,100 17.200 13.400 3.A 17.900 3.B Capping to Mean PECQ1 60 210.900 25.700 22.300 Capping to PEC 58,700 220 827,400 101,500 92,000 3.D Capping to ERL 1,341,900 152,200 102,800 3.E 349 250,800 Dredging for H&E/Capping to Mean PECQ2 36 153,800 29,700 NA 4.A.3 NA 5 Dredging for H&E/Capping to Mean PECQ1 4.B.3 60 248,900 40.900 NA NA Dredging for H&E/Capping to PEC 220 924,600 141,500 NA NA 4.D.3 Dredging for H&E/Capping to ERL 349 1.518.400 245.200 NA NA 4.E.3 Full Removal (to Mean PECQ2) 5.A NA NA NA NA NA Full Removal (to Mean PECQ1) 5.B NA NA NA NA NA Full Removal (to PEC) 5.D NA NA NA NA NA Full Removal (to ERL) NA NA NA NA NA 5.E No Action NA NA NA NA NA 1 Targeted Dredging/Capping to Mean PECQ2 94 351,400 77,400 50,400 NA 4.A.1 Dredging for NLSA & H&E & Targeted Dredging/Capping to Mean PECQ2 94 375.000 83.600 NA NA 4.A.3 50,800 Targeted Dredging/Capping to PECQ1 4.B.1 123 457,400 97,800 NA Dredging for NLSA & H&E & Targeted Dredging/Capping to PECQ1 123 471.000 103.900 4.B.3 NA NA 6 Targeted Dredging/Capping of Entire SMU Dredging for NLSA & H&E & Targeted Dredging/Capping of Entire SMU 598,600 128,600 139,900 4.D.1 156 NA 156 632,800 132,500 NA NA 4.D.3 Full Removal (to Mean PECQ2) NA NA NA NA NA 5.A Full Removal (to Mean PECQ1) 5.B NA NA NA NA NA Full Removal (to PEC or ERL) NA NA NA NA NA 5.D No Action NA NA NA NA NA 1 Capping of Entire SMU 38,600 3.A 38 281,800 39,600 NA 7 Dredging for NLSA & H&E/Capping of Entire SMU 38 291.200 38.900 900 1.900 4.A.3 Full Removal (to Mean PECQ2 or Mean PECQ1) 5.A NA NA NA NA NA Full Removal (to AET, PEC or ERL) NA NA NA NA NA

TABLE E.37 (Continued) **ONONDAGA LAKE REMEDIAL ALTERNATIVES CAPPING MATERIAL VOLUME SUMMARY**

Notes:

(1) Dredging sufficient sediments such that there is no loss of lake surface area following Capping placement.

(2) Dredging sufficient sediments such that the depth after capping optimizes habitat potential and minimizes erosion potential.

(3) Mean PEC quotient of 2 + mercury PEC

5.C

(4) Mean PEC quotient of 1 + mercury PEC

NA - Not applicable

(+) Indicates that the volume is based on the limits of the data, but the depth of SEC exceedance has not been delineated.

TABLE E.38

SMU 1

ALTERNATIVE 3.A CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU CAPPING MATERIAL VOLUME

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
	Lipland Area	5	Wetland Substrate	12	100	1613	8,100
	Opialid Alea	5	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	34,300
	Emergent Wetland Area	7.2	Wetland Substrate	12	100	1613	11,700
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	49,400
1	Recreation/Habitat Buffer		Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	37,500
I	Area & Submerged	23.2	14" Dia. Stone (Armoring)	28	100	3764	87,400
	Macrophyte		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	159,100
	Fish Spawing Habitat	28.3	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	45,700
	Tish Spawing Habitat		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	194,100
	Benthic Substrate	19.8	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	57	100	7663	151,800

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	Various
	626,200	45,700	87,400	19,800

Notes:

Refer to Figures 4.3 & 4.4 for areas and cap details associated with this alternative

TABLE E.39

SMU 1 ALTERNATIVE 4.A.2 DREDGING FOR NLSA/CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU CAPPING MATERIAL VOLUME

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
	Rocroational/Habitat		Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	57,800
	25.0	14" Dia. Stone (Armoring)	28	100	3764	134,800	
	Buller Area + Submerged	33.0	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	245,500
1 Macrophyte		Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	62	500	18,000	
Fi	Fich Spawing Habitat	28.3	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	45,700
	FISH Spawing Habitat		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	194,100
	Benthic Substrate	19.8	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	57	100	7663	151,800

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	
	649,200	63,700	134,800	

Notes:

Refer to Figures 4.16 & 4.19 for areas and cap details associated with this alternative

TABLE E.40

SMU 1

ALTERNATIVE 4.A.3 DREDGING FOR NLSA & H&E/CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU CAPPING MATERIAL VOLUME

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
			Sand (Buffer)	30	100	4033	17,000
			14" Dia. Stone (Armoring)	28	70	2635	11,100
	Recreational/Habitat Buffer	4.2	Sand (Habitat)	6	50	403	1,700
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	58	468	2,000
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	58	3977	16,800
1	Submerged Macrophyte	31.6	Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	51,000
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	216,700
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	25,500
	Fich Spowing Habitat	28.3	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	45,700
	FISH Spawing Habitat		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	194,100
	Benthic Substrate	19.8	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	57	100	7663	151,800

			Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	
				649,100	73,200	11,100	
			Sand (Buffer)	24	100	3227	1,600
			Wetland Substrate	12	26	419	200
			Fill	42	56	3162	1,600
1	Emergent Wetland	0.5	14" Dia. Stone (Armoring)	28	46	1732	900
			Sand (Habitat)	6	23	186	100
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	92	742	400
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	92	6308	3,200

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	Various	
	4,900	400	900	1,800	
Grand Total:	Sand	Gravel	Stone	Various	
	654,000	73,600	12,000	1,800	

Notes:

Refer to Figures 4.16, 4.20, & 4.21 for areas and cap details associated with this alternative

TABLE E.41

SMU 1

ALTERNATIVE 4.A.4 DREDGING TO REMOVE 25% OF ILWD/CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU CAPPING MATERIAL VOLUME

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
			Sand (Buffer)	30	100	4033	7,700
	Pagrantianal/Habitat Buffor	1.0	Sand (Habitat)	6	50	403	800
		1.9	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	58	468	900
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	58	3977	7,600
1	Submerged Macrophyte	5.8	Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	9,400
I			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	39,800
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	4,700
	Fich Spowing Hobitot	57.3	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	92,500
	FISH Spawing Habitat		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	392,900
	Benthic Substrate	19.8	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	57	100	7663	151,800
		15.0	Cana (Chonnear Iociation)	01	100	7000	101,000

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	Backfill	
	610,000	98,100	0	71,587	

Notes:

Refer to Figures 4.17 & 4.22 for areas and cap details associated with this alternative

TABLE E.42

SMU 1

ALTERNATIVE 4.A.5 DREDGING TO REMOVE 3 METERS/CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU CAPPING MATERIAL VOLUME

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
			Sand (Buffer)	30	100	4033	7,700
			14" Dia. Stone (Armoring)	28	70	2635	5,100
	Recreational/Habitat Buffer	1.9	Sand (Habitat)	6	50	403	800
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	58	468	900
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	58	3977	7,600
1	Submerged Macrophyte	5.8	Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	9,400
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	39,800
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	4,700
	Fich Spawing Habitat	46.5	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	75,100
	FISH Spawing Habitat		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	318,900
	Benthic Substrate	30.2	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	57	100	7663	231,500

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	Backfill	
	615,700	80,700	5,100	19,500	

Notes:

Refer to Figures 4.17 & 4.23 for areas and cap details associated with this alternative

TABLE E.43

SMU 1

ALTERNATIVE 4.A.6 DREDGING TO REMOVE 4 METERS/CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU CAPPING MATERIAL VOLUME

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
			Sand (Buffer)	30	100	4033	7,700
			14" Dia. Stone (Armoring)	28	70	2635	5,100
	Recreational/Habitat Buffer	1.9	Sand (Habitat)	6	50	403	800
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	58	468	900
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	58	3977	7,600
1	Submerged Macrophyte	5.8	Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	9,400
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	39,800
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	4,700
	Fich Spawing Habitat	36.3	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	58,600
	FISH Spawing Habitat		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	248,900
	Benthic Substrate	42.2	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	57	100	7663	323,400

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	Backfill	
	637,600	64,200	5,100	71,587	

Notes:

Refer to Figures 4.18 & 4.24 for areas and cap details associated with this alternative
TABLE E.44

SMU 1

ALTERNATIVE 4.A.7 DREDGING TO REMOVE 5 METERS/CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU CAPPING MATERIAL VOLUME

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
			Sand (Buffer)	30	100	4033	7,700
			14" Dia. Stone (Armoring)	28	70	2635	5,100
	Recreational/Habitat Buffer	1.9	Sand (Habitat)	6	50	403	800
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	58	468	900
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	58	3977	7,600
1		5.8	Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	9,400
	Submerged Macrophyte		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	39,800
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	4,700
	Fich Spowing Habitat	111	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	23,300
	FISH Spawing Habitat	14.4	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	98,800
	Benthic Substrate	64.4	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	57	100	7663	493,600

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	Backfill
	657,700	28,900	5,100	144,878

Notes:

TABLE E.45

SMU 2

ALTERNATIVE 3.A ISOLATION CAPPING TO MEAN PECQ2, MEAN PECQ1, OR AET CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
-	Unland Area	27	Upland Area	6	100	807	2,200
	opland / rea	2.1	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	18,600
	Emergent Wetland Area	1 /	Wetland Substrate	6	100	807	1,200
		1.4	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	9,600
		0.6	Sand (Habitat)	6	100	807	500
2	Habitat Buffer		14" Dia. Stone (Armoring)	24	100	3227	2,000
-			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	4,200
	Submerged Macrophyte	1 1	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	4,200
	Submerged Macrophyte	1.1	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	7,600
	Fish Spawing Habitat	51	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	4,200
	T ISH Spawing Habitat	5.1	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	35,000
	Benthic Substrate	6.2	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	57	100	7663	47,600

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	Various	
	123,100	8,400	2,000	3,400	

Notes:

TABLE E.46

SMU 2 ALTERNATIVE 3.D CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
-	Linland Area	5.6	Upland Area	6	100	807	4,600
		5.0	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	38,400
	Emergent Wetland Area	3.6	Wetland Substrate	6	100	807	3,000
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	24,700
		2.2	Sand (Habitat)	6	100	807	1,800
2	Habitat Buffer		14" Dia. Stone (Armoring)	24	100	3227	7,100
_			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	15,100
	Submerged Macrophyte	24	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	7,600
		2.4	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	16,500
	Fish Spawing Habitat	94	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	7,600
	Tish opawing habitat	5.4	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	64,500
	Benthic Substrate	14.1	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	57	100	7663	108,100

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	Various
	269,100	15,200	7,100	7,600

Notes:

TABLE E.47

SMU 2

ALTERNATIVE 4.A.3 DREDGING FOR NLSA & H&E & TARGETED DREDGING TO 4 METER DEPTH / CAPPING TO MEAN PECQ2, MEAN PECQ1, OR AET CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
			Sand (Buffer)	30	100	4033	6,500
			14" Dia. Stone (Armoring)	28	70	2635	4,300
	Recreational/Habitat Buffer	1.6	Sand (Habitat)	6	50	403	700
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	58	468	800
2			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	58	3977	6,400
-			Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	4,200
	Submerged Macrophyte	2.6	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	17,900
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	2,100
	Fich Spowing Habitat	4.6	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	7,500
	FISH Spawing Habitat		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	31,600
	Benthic Substrate	7.4	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	57	100	7663	56,800

Volume	Totals	(yd³):	
			ł

tals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	Backfill
	124,100	10,400	4,300	15,520

Notes:

TABLE E.48

SMU 2

ALTERNATIVE 4.A.4 DREDGING FOR NLSA, H&E, & FULL NAPL REMOVAL / CAPPING TO PECQ2, MEAN PECQ1, OR AET **CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE**

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
			Sand (Buffer)	30	100	4033	6,500
			14" Dia. Stone (Armoring)	28	70	2635	4,300
	Recreational/Habitat Buffer	1.6	Sand (Habitat)	6	50	403	700
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	58	468	800
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	58	3977	6,400
2			Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	4,200
	Submerged Macrophyte	2.6	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	17,900
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	2,100
	Fish Snawing Habitat	4.6	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	7,500
		ч.0	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	31,600
	Benthic Substrate	7.4	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	57	100	7663	56,800

Volum

e Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	Backfill
	124,100	10,400	4,300	188,423

Notes:

TABLE E.49

SMU 2 ALTERNATIVE 4.D.3 DREDGING FOR NLSA, H&E & TARGETED DREDGING TO 4 METER DEPTH / CAPPING TO PEC

CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
			Sand (Buffer)	30	100	4033	12,100
			14" Dia. Stone (Armoring)	28	70	2635	8,000
	Recreational/Habitat Buffer	3	Sand (Habitat)	6	50	403	1,300
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	58	468	1,500
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	58	3977	12,000
2			Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	11,300
	Submerged Macrophyte	7	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	48,000
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	5,700
	Fish Spawing Habitat	8.1	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	13,100
	rish opawing habitat	0.1	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	55,600
	Benthic Substrate	15.8	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	57	100	7663	121,100

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	Backfill
	261,400	20,300	8,000	15,520

Notes:

TABLE E.50

SMU 2

ALTERNATIVE 4.D.4 DREDGING FOR NLSA, H&E & FULL NAPL REMOVAL / CAPPING TO PEC CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
			Sand (Buffer)	30	100	4033	12,100
			14" Dia. Stone (Armoring)	28	70	2635	8,000
	Recreational/Habitat Buffer	3	Sand (Habitat)	6	50	403	1,300
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	58	468	1,500
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	58	3977	12,000
2			Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	11,300
	Submerged Macrophyte	7	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	48,000
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	5,700
	Fish Spawing Habitat	8 1	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	13,100
		0.1	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	55,600
	Benthic Substrate	15.8	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	57	100	7663	121,100

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	Backfill
	261,400	20,300	8,000	188,423

Notes:

TABLE E.51

SMU 3 ALTERNATIVE 4.A.3 DREDGING FOR NLSA & H&E & TARGETED DREDGING/CAPPING TO MEAN PECQ2, MEAN PECQ1, OR PEC CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
			Sand (Buffer)	30	100	4033	6,500
	Recreational/Habitat Buffer	1.6	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	58	468	800
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	58	1871	3,000
			Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	6,700
3	Submerged Macrophyte	4.1	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	13,300
Fish Spawing Habitat			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	3,400
	0.0	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	13,400	
	FISH Spawing Habitat	0.3	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	26,800
	Benthic Substrate	15.1	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	36	100	4840	73,100

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel
	129,400	17,600

Notes:

TABLE E.52

SMU 3

ALTERNATIVE 4.E.3 DREDGING FOR NLSA & H&E & TARGETED DREDGING/CAPPING TO ERL CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
			Sand (Buffer)	30	100	4033	33,100
	Recreational/Habitat Buffer	8.2	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	58	468	3,900
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	58	1871	15,400
			Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	37,300
3	Submerged Macrophyte	23.1	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	74,600
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	18,700
	Fish Spawing Habitat	33.8	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	54,600
		55.0	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	109,100
	Benthic Substrate	46.5	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	36	100	4840	225,100

Volume Totals (yd³): Sand 494,60

1	Gravel
00	77,200

Notes:



TABLE E.53 SMU 4 ALTERNATIVE 3.A CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
	Upland Area	5.6	Upland Area	12	100	1613	9,100
		0.0	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	18,100
	Emergent Wetland Area	10.4	Wetland Substrate	12	100	1613	16,800
	Emergent Wetland Area	10.4	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	33,600
1	Recreation/Habitat Buffer		Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	11,200
4	Area & Submerged	6.9	9" Dia. Stone (Armoring)	18	100	2420	16,700
	Macrophyte		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	22,300
Figh Spawing	Fich Spawing Habitat	26.2	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	42,500
	Tish Spawing Habitat	20.3	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	84,900
	Benthic Substrate	26	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	30	100	4033	104,900

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	Various
	275,000	42,500	16,700	25,900

Notes:

TABLE E.54

SMU 4

ALTERNATIVE 4.A.3 DREDGING FOR NLSA & H&E/CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
			Sand (Buffer)	30	100	4033	9,300
	Recreational/Habitat Buffer	2.3	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	58	468	1,100
		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	58	1871	4,400	
			Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	30,200
4	Submerged Macrophyte	18.7	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	60,400
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	15,100
	Fish Snawing Habitat	27.2	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	43,900
	FISH Spawing Habitat	21.2	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	87,800
	Benthic Substrate	26	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	30	100	4033	104,900
			Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel		

			Sand (Buffer)	24	100	3227	1,700
		Wetland Substrate	12	26	419	300	
4	Emergent Wetland	0.5	Sand (Habitat)	6	23	186	100
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	92	742	400
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	92	2969	1,500

297,000

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	Various
	3,300	400	0	300

60,100

Grand Total:	Sand	Gravel	Stone	Various
	300,300	60,500	0	300

Notes:

Refer to Figures 4.30, 4.31, 4.32, & 4.33 for areas and cap details associated with this alternative

TABLE E.55

SMU 5

ALTERNATIVE 3.A ISOLATION CAPPING TO MEAN PECQ2 CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
	Upland Area	23	Upland Area	12	100	1613	3,800
	opland / liou	2.0	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	7,500
	Emergent Wetland Area	59	Wetland Substrate	12	100	1613	9,600
	Emergent Wetland Alea	0.9	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	19,100
5	Recreation/Habitat Buffer		Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	8,600
5	Area & Submerged	5.3	12" Dia. Stone (Armoring)	24	100	3227	17,200
	Macrophyte		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	17,200
	Fich Spawing Habitat	11.2	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	18,100
	FISH Spawing Habitat	11.2	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	36,200
	Benthic Substrate	8.7	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	30	100	4033	35,100

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	Various
	123,700	18,100	17,200	13,400

Notes:

TABLE E.56

SMU 5

ALTERNATIVE 3.B ISOLATION CAPPING TO MEAN PECQ1 CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
	Upland Area	3	Upland Area	12	100	1613	4,900
		•	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	9,700
	Emergent Wetland Area	8	Wetland Substrate	12	100	1613	13,000
	Emergent Wetland Alea	0	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	25,900
5	Recreation/Habitat Buffer		Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	11,200
5	Area & Submerged	6.9	12" Dia. Stone (Armoring)	24	100	3227	22,300
	Macrophyte		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	22,300
	Fich Spawing Habitat	15.0	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	25,700
	FISH Spawing Habitat	15.9	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	51,400
	Benthic Substrate	22.4	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	30	100	4033	90,400

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	Various
	210,900	25,700	22,300	17,900

Notes:

TABLE E.57

SMU 5 ALTERNATIVE 3.D ISOLATION CAPPING TO PEC CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
	Upland Area	13.3	Upland Area	12	100	1613	21,500
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3221	43,000
	Emergent Wetland Area	23	Wetland Substrate	12	100	1613	37,200
	Emorgoni Wolland Aloa	20	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	74,300
5	Recreation/Habitat Buffer		Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	46,000
5	Area & Submerged	28.5	12" Dia. Stone (Armoring)	24	100	3227	92,000
	Macrophyte		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	92,000
	Fish Spawing Habitat	62.0	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	101,500
	i ish opawing habitat	02.9	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	203,000
	Benthic Substrate	91.5	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	30	100	4033	369,100

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	Various
	827,400	101,500	92,000	58,700

Notes:

TABLE E.58

SMU 5 ALTERNATIVE 3.E ISOLATION CAPPING TO ERL CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
	Upland Area	19.7	Upland Area	12	100	1613	31,800
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	63,600
	Emergent Wetland Area	44	Wetland Substrate	12	100	1613	71,000
	Emergent Wettand Area		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	142,000
5	Recreation/Habitat Buffer		Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	125,400
5	Area & Submerged	77.7	12" Dia. Stone (Armoring)	24	100	3227	250,800
	Macrophyte		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	250,800
	Fish Spawing Habitat	04.3	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	152,200
	r ish Spawing Habitat	34.3	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	304,300
	Benthic Substrate	113	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	30	100	4033	455,800

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	Various
	1,341,900	152,200	250,800	102,800

Notes:

TABLE E.59

SMU 5

ALTERNATIVE 4.A.3 DREDGING FOR H&E/ISOLATION CAPPING TO MEAN PECQ2 CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
			Sand (Buffer)	30	100	4033	20,200
	Recreational/Habitat Buffer	5	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	58	468	2,400
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	58	1871	9,400
			Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	15,700
5	Submerged Macrophyte	9.7	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	31,300
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	7,900
	Fish Snawing Habitat	12	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	19,400
	i ish Spawing Habitat	١Z	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	38,800
	Benthic Substrate	9.5	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	30	100	4033	38,400

Volume Totals (yd³): Sand Gravel 153,800 29,700

Notes:

TABLE E.60

SMU 5

ALTERNATIVE 4.B.3 DREDGING FOR H&E/ISOLATION CAPPING TO MEAN PECQ1 CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
			Sand (Buffer)	30	100	4033	25,900
	Recreational/Habitat Buffer	6.4	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	58	468	3,000
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	58	1871	12,000
			Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	20,700
5	Submerged Macrophyte	12.8	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	41,400
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	10,400
	Fish Snawing Habitat	17	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	27,500
	i ish Spawing Habitat	17	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	54,900
	Benthic Substrate	23.3	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	30	100	4033	94,000

Volume Totals (yd³):

Sand	Gravel
248,900	40,900

Notes:

TABLE E.61

SMU 5

ALTERNATIVE 4.D.3 DREDGING FOR H&E/ISOLATION CAPPING TO PEC CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
			Sand (Buffer)	30	100	4033	146,500
	Recreational/Habitat Buffer	36.3	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	58	468	17,000
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	58	1871	68,000
		28.5 62.9	Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	46,000
5	Submerged Macrophyte		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	92,000
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	23,000
	Fish Snawing Habitat		Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	101,500
	FISH Spawing Habitat		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	203,000
	Benthic Substrate	91.5	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	30	100	4033	369,100

Volume Totals (yd³):

Sand	Gravel
924,600	141,500

Notes:

TABLE E.62

SMU 5

ALTERNATIVE 4.E.3 DREDGING FOR H&E/ISOLATION CAPPING TO ERL CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
		64.7	Sand (Buffer)	30	100	4033	261,000
	Recreational/Habitat Buffer		Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	58	468	30,300
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	58	1871	121,100
		77.7	Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	125,400
5	Submerged Macrophyte		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	250,800
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	62,700
	Fish Spawing Habitat	94.3	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	152,200
	FISH Spawing Habitat		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	304,300
	Benthic Substrate	113	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	30	100	4033	455,800

Volume Totals (yd³):

:	Sand	Gravel
	1,518,400	245,200

Notes:

TABLE E.63

SMU 6

ALTERNATIVE 4.A.1 TARGETED DREDGING/CAPPING TO MEAN PECQ2 CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
	Recreation/Habitat Buffer	11.7	Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	18,900
	Area & Submerged		16" Dia. Stone (Armoring)	32	100	4302	50,400
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	62	500	5,900
6	Macrophyte		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	37,800
	Fish Spawing Habitat	44.3	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	71,500
	T ISH Spawing Habitat		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	143,000
	Benthic Substrate	37.6	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	30	100	4033	151,700

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	
	351,400	77,400	50,400	

Notes:

TABLE E.64

SMU 6

ALTERNATIVE 4.A.3 DREDGING FOR NLSA & H&E & TARGETED DREDGING/CAPPING TO MEAN PECQ2 CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
			Sand (Buffer)	30	100	4033	14,600
	Recreational/Habitat Buffer	3.6	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	58	468	1,700
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	58	1871	6,800
		18.2	Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	29,400
6	Submerged Macrophyte		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	58,800
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	14,700
	Fish Spawing Habitat	41.6	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	67,200
	FISH Spawing Habitat		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	134,300
	Benthic Substrate	32.5	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	30	100	4033	131,100

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel
	375,000	83,600

Notes:

TABLE E.65

SMU 6

ALTERNATIVE 4.B.1 TARGETED DREDGING/CAPPING TO MEAN PECQ1 CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd³)
	Recreation/Habitat Buffer		Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	19,100
	Area & Submerged	11.8	16" Dia. Stone (Armoring)	32	100	4302	50,800
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	62	500	6,000
6	Macrophyte		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	38,100
	Fich Spowing Habitat	56.0	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	91,800
	FISH Spawing Habitat	50.9	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	183,600
	Benthic Substrate	53.7	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	30	100	4033	216,600

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	
	457,400	97,800	50,800	

Notes:

TABLE E.66

SMU 6

ALTERNATIVE 4.B.3 DREDGING FOR NLSA & H&E & TARGETED DREDGING/CAPPING TO MEAN PECQ1 CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
			Sand (Buffer)	30	100	4033	14,600
	Recreational/Habitat Buffer	3.6	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	58	468	1,700
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	58	1871	6,800
		16.9	Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	27,300
6	Submerged Macrophyte		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	54,600
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	13,700
	Fish Snawing Habitat	54.8	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	88,500
	FISH Spawing Habitat		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	176,900
	Benthic Substrate	47.3	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	30	100	4033	190,800

 Volume Totals (yd³):
 Sand
 Gravel

 471,000
 103,900

Notes:

TABLE E.67

SMU 6

ALTERNATIVE 4.D.1 TARGETED DREDGING/CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
	Recreation/Habitat Buffer		Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	52,500
	Area & Submerged	32.5	16" Dia. Stone (Armoring)	32	100	4302	139,900
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	62	500	16,300
6	Macrophyte		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	104,900
	Fich Spawing Habitat	69.6	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	112,300
	FISH Spawing Habitat		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	224,600
	Benthic Substrate	53.7	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	30	100	4033	216,600

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	
	598,600	128,600	139,900	

Notes:

TABLE E.68

SMU 6

ALTERNATIVE 4.D.3 DREDGING FOR NLSA & H&E & TARGETED DREDGING/CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
			Sand (Buffer)	30	100	4033	40,400
Recreational/Habitat Buff	Recreational/Habitat Buffer	10	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	58	468	4,700
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	58	1871	18,800
		39.3	Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	63,500
	Submerged Macrophyte		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	126,900
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	31,800
	Fish Spawing Habitat	59.5	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	96,000
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	24	100	3227	192,000
	Benthic Substrate	47.4	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	30	100	4033	191,200

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	
	632,800	132,500	

Notes:

Notes:

TABLE E.69 SMU 7 ALTERNATIVE 3.A CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
7 Recreation/Habitat Buffe Area & Submerged Macrophyte Fish Spawing Habitat	Recreation/Habitat Buffer	9.2	Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	14,900
	Area & Submorged		16" Dia. Stone (Armoring)	32	100	4302	39,600
	Macrophyto		Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	62	500	4,700
	Macrophyte		Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	63,100
	Fich Spawing Habitat	21	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	33,900
	FISH Spawing Habitat	21	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	144,000
	Benthic Substrate	7.8	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	57	100	7663	59,800

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone
	281,800	38,600	39,600

TABLE E.70

SMU 7

ALTERNATIVE 4.A.3 DREDGING FOR NLSA & H&E/CAPPING OF ENTIRE SMU **CAPPING MATERIAL ESTIMATE**

SMU Number	Cap Region	Area of Region (acres)	Component of Cap	Component Thickness (inches)	Estimated Coverage of Region (%)	Unit Volume (yd ³ /acre)	Total Volume of Component (yd ³)
			Sand (Buffer)	30	100	4033	6,900
7 Submerg	Recreational/Habitat Buffer	1.7	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	58	468	800
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	58	3977	6,800
	Submerged Macrophyte	12.9	Sand (Habitat)	12	100	1613	20,900
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	88,500
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	6	100	807	10,500
	Fish Spawing Habitat	16.6	Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	100	1613	26,800
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	100	6857	113,900
	Benthic Substrate	6.4	Sand (Chemical Isolation)	57	100	7663	49,100

			Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand 286,100	Gravel 38,100		
			Sand (Buffer)	24	100	3227	1,700
			Wetland Substrate	12 42	26 56	419 3162	300 1.600
7	Emergent Wetland	0.5	14" Dia. Stone (Armoring)	28	46	1732	900
			Sand (Habitat)	12	23	371	200
			Fine Gravel (Habitat & Armor)	12	92	1484	800
			Sand (Chemical Isolation)	51	92	6308	3,200

Volume Totals (yd ³):	Sand	Gravel	Stone	Various
	5,100	800	900	1,900
-				
Grand Total:	Sand	Gravel	Stone	Various
	291,200	38,900	900	1,900

Notes:

APPENDIX E

FIGURES